### No./N/139/140/141/142/143 of 2019

# BEFORE THE KARANATAKA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION, No.16, C-1, Millers Tank Bed Area, Vasanth Nagar, Bengaluru-560 052.

# Dated: 29.06.2021

# Present

Shri Shambhu Dayal Meena Shri H.M. Manjunatha

Shri M.D. Ravi

: Chairman

: Member

: Member

# 1. OP No.48/2019

#### BETWEEN:

M/s Fortum Solar India Private Limited, 1A, Vandana Buliding, 11, Tolstoy Marg, Adani House, Nr. Mithkhali Six Roads, New Delhi-110 001.

.... PETITIONER

[Petitioner represented by Sri Basava Prabhu Patil, Senior Advocate for M/s HAS Advocates]

#### AND:

Bangalore Electricity Supply Company Limited, Office at K.R. Circle, Bengaluru-560 001.

.... RESPONDENT

[Respondent represented by Sri Sriranga, Advocate for M/s Just Law Advocates]

# 2. OP No.49/2019

#### BETWEEN:

M/s Fortum Solar India Private Limited, 1A, Vandana Building, 11, Tolstoy Marg, New Delhi-110 001.

.... PETITIONER

[Petitioner represented by Sri Basava Prabhu Patil, Senior Advocate for M/s HAS Advocates]

#### AND:

Hubli Electricity Supply Company Limited, P.B. Road, Navangar, Hubballi.

.... RESPONDENT

[Respondent represented by Sri Shahbaaz Hussain, Advocate]

# 3. OP No.50/2019

# **BETWEEN:**

M/s Fortum Solar India Private Limited, 1A, Vandana Buliding, 11, Tolstoy Marg, New Delhi-110 001.

.... PETITIONER

[Petitioner represented by Sri Basava Prabhu Patil, Senior Advocate for M/s HAS Advocates]

#### AND:

Chamundeshwari Electricity Supply Company Limited, No.29, Vijayanagara, 2<sup>nd</sup> Stage, Mysore-570 017.

.... RESPONDENT

[Respondent represented by Sri Sriranga, Advocate for M/s Just Law Advocates]

# 4. OP No.51/2019

#### BETWEEN:

M/s Fortum Solar India Private Limited, 1A, Vandana Buliding, 11, Tolstoy Marg, New Delhi-110 001.

.... PETITIONER

[Respondent represented by Sri Basava Prabhu Patil, Senior Advocate for M/s HAS Advocates]

#### AND:

Bangalore Electricity Supply Company Limited, Office at K.R. Circle, Bengaluru-560 001.

.... RESPONDENT

[Respondent represented by Sri Sriranga, Advocate for M/s Just Law, Advocates]

# 5. OP No.52/2019

#### BETWEEN:

M/s Fortum Solar India Private Limited, 1A, Vandana Building, 11, Tolstoy Marg, New Delhi-110001.

.... PETITIONER

[Respondent represented by Sri Basava Prabhu Patil, Senior Advocate for M/s HAS Advocates]

#### AND:

Mangalore Electricity Supply Company Limited, MESCOM Bhavana, Kavoor Cross Road, Bejai, Mangalore-575 004.

.... RESPONDENT

[Respondent represented by Sri Shahbaaz Hussain, Advocate]

#### **FURTHER COMMON ORDERS ON REMAND**

1. Common Orders dated 31.12.2020 passed in the above cases were challenged before the Hon'ble ATE in Appeal Nos,APL-104/2021, APL-105/2021, APL-108/2021, APL-111/2-2021 & APL-112/2021. The Hon'ble ATE by the common judgment dated 21.05.2021 disposed of the above appeals setting aside the observations made in para 55 and also the operative part of the impugned order and directing the Commission to take up further exercise of the determination of incremental tariff

consequent to the determination already done by the Commission on the quantum of compensation to which the appellant in each case is entitled to, as a result of Change in Law event and that such exercise must be completed within two months from the date of judgment.

- 2. The Petitioner M/s Fortum Solar India Private Limited had filed the above petitions against BESCOM, HESCOM, CESC, BESCOM and MESCOM respectively, claiming reimbursement of certain Safeguard Duty and IGST incurred by it on the import of solar panels, as per the relevant provisions of the PPAs executed with those distribution licensees. For better understanding of the reliefs claimed in the petitions, the same is extracted as follows:
  - "a) To declare, acknowledge and hold that the imposition of Safeguard Duty on the import of Solar Modules pursuant to the SGD Notification issued by the Department of Revenue, Ministry of Finance, amounts to a Change in Law Event as per the PPA dated 24.04.2018 with effect from 30.07.2018;
    - b) To determine the appropriate and proportionate increase in tariff due to imposition of Safeguard Duty and, accordingly, amend the tariff specified in the PPA dated 24.07.2018;
    - c) To direct the respondents (BESCOM/HESCOM/CESC/ MESCOM) to reimburse the Petitioner for the actual additional expenditures incurred by it as set out in detail under paragraphs 10 and 26, read with the other relevant submission, of the present petition along with carrying cost from the date of incurring the expense to the date of actual payment by BESCOM/HESCOM/CESC/MESCOM and other associated costs;

- d) Pass such other or further orders as the Commission may deem fit in the interest of Justice."
- 3. The respondents-distribution companies appeared and contested the claims of the petitioner. From the rival pleadings and contentions raised by the parties, the following issues had been framed for consideration:
  - "Issue No.1: Whether it would be necessary for this Commission not to proceed with the present petitions till the disposal of the SLP No.24009-24010/2018 pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India?
  - Issue No.2: Whether the Petitioner proves that the imposition of Safeguard Duty vide Notification No.01/2018 Custom-(SG) dated 30.07.2018 issued by the Government of India, on import of Solar Modules amounts to 'Change in Law' as per Article 15 of PPA?
  - Issue No.3: Whether the Respondents (BESCOM, HESCOM, CESC, MESCOM) are liable to reimburse the Petitioner for the actual additional expenditure incurred by it as set out in paragraph 10 and 26 of the petition along with carrying cost from the date of incurring expenses to the date of actual payment?
  - <u>Issue No.4</u>: Whether the Respondent proves that the Petitioner has imported excess Solar Modules and claim is excessive?
  - <u>Issue No.5</u>: Whether the Petitioner is entitled for appropriate and proportionate increase in Tariff due to imposition of Safeguard Duty and consequently amend Tariff specified in the PPAs dated 24.07.2018 (25.07.2018 and 27.07.2018)?

<u>Issue No.6</u>: What order? "

4. After considering the rival submissions and the pleadings, this Commission held Issue No.1 in negative, Issue No.2 in affirmative and on Issue No.3, the claim made for grant of carrying cost was rejected and on the claim for the additional expenditure as mentioned in paras 10 & 26 of the petitions were not fully accepted and on Issue No.4, the said claim for additional expenditure was limited to the amount shown in paragraph 48 of the Order. Further, Issue No.5 was held in affirmative and accordingly, the following Order was passed:

# "ORDER

- a) The petition is partly allowed.
- b) The petitioner and the respondent in each of the cases shall verify the amount payable to the petitioner in the respective cases after examining the documents and other directions given in para 48 & 54 above. This process shall be completed within a period of two months from date of this order. If the above process is not completed within the stipulated time stated above due to the fault of the respondent, the defaulting respondent shall be liable to pay interest at the rate of 8% per annum from the date of default to the date of completion of the process of verification, on the amount payable to the concerned petitioner.
- c) The amount found to be due and payable to the petitioners shall be spread over for the remaining period of the PPA from the date of this order and shall be reimbursed by appropriate increase in tariff per unit taking into consideration the minimum contracted energy as per provisions of the PPA for the respective Solar Power Projects.
- d) The petitioners are not entitled to any of the carrying cost.

- e) The petitioners shall abide by the undertaking as per the Affidavit dated 19.09.2020 to reimburse the amount received from the respondents, if any, in the event of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in SLP No.24009-24010/2018 setting aside the Safeguard Duty Notification No.01/2018 Custom (SG) dated 30.07.2018, issued by Ministry of Finance, Government of India. In case, the petitioners failed to repay the amount received from the respondents, then the respondents are at liberty to adjust the amount due to them in the monthly tariff bills.
- f) Accordingly, the petitioners and respondents shall submit the Supplementary Power Purchase Agreements for the approval of the Commission.
- g) The original Order shall be kept in OP No.48/2019 and copies, thereof, in OP Nos.49/2019, 50/2019, 51/2019 and 52/2019."
- 5. The additional expenditures claimed as set out in paragraphs 10 & 26 of the petitions and the claim allowed on this head by the Commission in different cases as noted in para 48 of the Orders may be extracted as follows:

OP No.	Additional expenditure claimed in para 10 & 26 of the petitions (Amount in Rs.)	Additional expenditure allowed by the Commission as noted in para 48 of the Orders (Amount in Rs.)
48/2019	28,79,95,571.00	20,82,06,371.00
49/2019	29,09,70,940.00	20,64,71,037.15
50/2019	29,22,99,717.00	20,82,06,371.00
51/2019	27,72,44,397.00	20,82,06,371.00
52/2019	31,70,06,245.00	21,34,77,393.69

6. The Hon'ble ATE in the above appeals has directed the Commission to take up further exercise of the determination of incremental tariff

consequent on the determination already done by this Commission on the quantum of compensation to which the appellant (petitioner) is entitled to as a result of Change in Law event. Therefore, in effect the operative part of the impugned order dated 31.12.2020 was set aside and there is a direction to take up further exercise of determination of incremental tariff to compensate the amounts in different cases as determined by this Commission in para 48 of the orders.

- 7. The copy of the judgment dated 21.05.2021 in the above appeals are obtained by down-loading from the official website of the Hon'ble ATE. Thereafter, this Commission issued notices dated 25.05.2021 to the parties intimating that the cases would be taken up for hearing on 04.06.2021 through Video-Conferencing and the parties could submit their views on the said date of hearing on the question of determination of incremental tariff. Accordingly, the petitioner and the respondents appeared through their Counsels and they were heard on 04.06.2021 and on 11.06.2021. They also filed their proposal as to how the incremental tariff is to be determined on the quantum of compensation to which the petitioner was found to be entitled to as a result of Change in Law event.
- 8. The submission of the petitioner and the respondents may be stated as follows:
  - a) <u>Submission of the petitioner:</u> The petitioner has relied upon the financial and technical parameters as considered in the Generic Tariff Order dated 01.08.2019 relating to the determination of tariff in respect of

Solar Power Projects (including Solar Roof-top Photovoltaic) for the FY20. Out of those parameters, the petitioner has not claimed any amount towards the land cost and O&M expenses but has considered the remaining parameters and taking into account the remaining term of the PPAs from the order dated 31.12.2020, has determined the levellised tariff per unit. The particulars of the calculations are shown at Annexure-I to the memo filed by the petitioner in each case. Accordingly, the petitioner has arrived at 36 paise per unit towards levellised incremental tariff in OP No.48 of 2019, OP No.49 of 2019, OP No.50 & in OP No.51 of 2019 and has arrived at 37 paise per unit towards levellised incremental tariff in OP No.52 of 2019.

b) <u>Submission of the respondent (BESCOM) in OP No.48 of 2019 & 51 of 2019:</u> The learned counsel appearing for Respondent (BESCOM) in these two cases has filed the memo dated 09.06.2021 urging his views to arrive at the incremental tariff. According to the learned counsel, the minimum energy that could be generated for the balance term of the PPAs in these cases, considering 18% CUF works out to 1,785.348 MU as per calculations enclosed to the Memo 09.06.2021 and the amount approved by the Commission for reimbursement in each cases is Rs.20,82,06,371. Thereby the incremental tariff per unit for the balance term of the PPA is arrived at 11.661 paise per unit.

- c) <u>Submission of the respondent (CESC) in OP No.50 of 2019</u>: The learned counsel appearing for the respondent (CESC) in this case filed the memo dated 09.06.2021 contending that the petitioner is eligible for an increase in tariff of 8 paise per unit for the remaining period of PPA from this Commission's Order dated 31.12.2020 as per calculation sheet produced as Annexure-1. In the calculation sheet, the average annual energy injected into the grid from the project is arrived at 113.66 MUs and the average energy for balance term of the PPA is arrived at 2610.742934 MU. The amount for reimbursement was taken as Rs.20,82,06,371 as per the Order dated 31.12.2020 of this Commission. Therefore, the increase in tariff per unit of energy supplied for the balance term of the PPA is arrived at 7.975 paise per unit, which is rounded off to 8 paise per unit.
- d) <u>Submission of the respondent (HESCOM) in OP No.49 of 2019</u>: The learned counsel for the respondent (HESCOM) filed the written submission dated 08.06.2021 in which he refuted the claim of the petitioner to consider the parameters like depreciation, interest on working capital, degradation and discounting factor and contended that considering 18% CUF, the total generation of energy per annum would be 7,88,40,000 units and the total energy for the remaining term of the PPA would be 178,17,84,000 units. The amount for reimbursement was taken at Rs.20,64,71,037 as per the Order dated 31.12.2020 of this

- Commission. Therefore, the increase in tariff per unit of energy supplied for the balance term of the PPA is arrived at 12 paise per unit.
- e) <u>Submission of the respondent (MESCOM) in OP No.52 of 2019</u>: The learned counsel appearing for the respondent (MESCOM) in this case filed the memo dated 08.06.2021 in which he refuted the claim of the petitioner to consider the parameters like depreciation, interest on working capital, degradation and discounting factors to arrive at the incremental tariff per unit. In the calculation sheet produced at Annexure-R5, considering the CUF at 18%, the average energy per month injected into the grid from the project is arrived at 65,70,000 units and the average energy for balance term of the PPA is arrived at 178,71,84,000 units. The amount for reimbursement was taken at Rs.21,34,77,393.69 as per the Order dated 31.12.2020 of this Commission. Therefore, the increase in tariff per unit of energy for the balance term of the PPA is arrived at 11.94 paise.
- 9. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties. They reiterated that their contentions urged in the memo/written submissions.
- 10. After considering the rival submissions and the proposals made by the learned counsel for the parties, the following issues arise for our consideration:

Issue No.1: What should be the methodology for determination of incremental tariff for reimbursement of the quantum of additional expenditure to which the petitioner is found to be entitled to in different petitions, as a result of CIL event?

Issue No.2: What Order?

- 11. After considering the submission of the learned counsel for the parties and the pleadings and documents produced by them, our findings on the above issues are as follows:
- 12. <u>Issue No.1:</u> What should be the methodology for determination of incremental tariff for reimbursement of the quantum of additional expenditure to which the petitioner is found to be entitled to in different petitions, as a result of CIL event?
  - a) The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has already incurred additional expenditure consequent to imposition of Safeguard Duty & IGST on the solar panels. He further submitted that if the respondents are ready to pay the additional expenditure in a lump-sum with reasonable interest, the petitioner is ready to accept the said amount. Further, he submitted that, as the petitioner has to receive the said additional amount incurred spreading over the term of the PPA by way of incremental tariff, the additional expenditure should be reimbursed by way of incremental tariff considering all the relevant financial and technical parameters for determination of tariff. Therefore, the petitioner submitted that the adoption of norms specified for determination of tariff as laid down in the Generic Tariff Order dated 01.08.2019, is proper. On the other hand, the learned

counsels for the respondents submitted that the additional amount now incurred is to be compensated just by spreading over the remaining period of the PPA considering the quantum generation of energy during that period as suggested by them.

b) Though the word 'tariff' is not defined in the Electricity Act, 2003, the Guidelines for determination of tariff as stated in Section 61 of the Electricity Act, 2003, would throw light, on the meaning of the word 'tariff'. One of the guidelines is that the generation of electricity is conducted on commercial principles. The tariff regulations specified by Central Regulatory Electricity Commission (CERC) and also the parameters for determination of tariff stated in the Generic Tariff Orders passed by this Commission for different periods essentially cover the guidelines stated in Section 61 of the Electricity Act, 2003. If one goes through these principles, it can be said that the capital expenditure incurred by the generator is reimbursed by way of tariff per unit of the energy supplied taking care of the interest on capital expenditure and also the time value of the money and other relevant parameters. No commercial principle supports the repayment of mere capital cost spreading over of the life of the power plant. Therefore, this Commission is of the considered view that the incremental tariff is to be determined by applying the parameters as per the Generic Tariff Order relating to solar project.

- c) In the present cases, the PPAs have been executed in the last week of July 2018 and those PPAs were approved by this Commission on 27.08.2018 and the period allowed for achieving the Scheduled Commissioning Date was 12 (twelve) months from the date of approval of the PPA i.e., by 26.08.2019. Based on this, the Petitioners have procured the solar panels for commissioning the project.
- d) Originally the tariff in these cases have been discovered through competitive bidding process, as per guidelines issued by the Central Government under Section 63 of the Electricity Act, 2003. The tariff quoted by the petitioner in the above cases being the lowest tariff, have been accepted and the petitioner was declared as successful bidder. The petitioner was not required to disclose the financial and technical parameters while quoting the tariff in the bidding documents. However, one can safely assume that the petitioner had taken into consideration all relevant parameters including the return on equity and the cost of borrowing, before quoting the tariff in the bidding documents.
- e) Consequent on imposition of Safeguard Duty and IGST on the imported solar panels subsequent to the last day of submission of bid, the petitioner had to incur additional expenditure which could not be factored while quoting tariff in the bidding process. Therefore, this additional expenditure has to be reimbursed by way of incremental tariff as ordered by the Hon'ble ATE.

f)

In determination of incremental tariff now, on the amount of safeguard duty and IGST thereon, as approved in the Commission's Order dated 31.12.2020 in OP No.48 to 52 of 2019, and as per the directions of the Hon'ble ATE in para 14 of its Order dated 21st May, 2021, the Commission has considered the parameters as per the generic tariff orders as applicable on the basis of Bill of Entry raised and submitted for having paid the amounts. While doing so, it is seen that the payment of safeguard duty and the IGST is spread over different dates covering two generic tariff orders dated 18.05.2018 (for FY18-19) and 01.08.2019 (for FY19-20). In cases where the payments are spread two financial years covering two generic tariff orders, the Commission has considered the applicable parameters on the basis of payment of majority of the Bill of Entry raised and payments made. On this basis, the Commission has considered the parameters applicable for determining the incremental tariff as under:

O.P. No.	Period w/r to Date of Bill of Entry (BoE)	Parameters considered as per Generic Tariff Order dated
48/2019	January, 2019 to June, 2019	18.05.2018 for FY18-19
49/2019	March,2019 to May,2019	01.08.2019 for FY19-20
50/2019	March,2019 to April, 2019	18.05.2018 for FY18-19
51/2019	January, 2019 to June, 2019	01.08.2019 for FY19-20
52/2019	April,2019 to June, 2019	01.08.2019 for FY19-20

g) The following are parameters considered for determination of incremental tariff:

SI. No.	Parameters	Normative Values Adopted as per Generic Tariff Order dated 18.05.2018 (for FY18-19)	Normative Values Adopted as per Generic Tariff Order dated 01.082019 (for FY19-20)
1	Debt: Equity Ratio	70:30	70:30
2	Debt Repayment in years	13	13
3	Interest on capital loan	10% per annum	10.5% per annum
4	Return on Equity	14% per annum	14% per annum
5	Depreciation	5.38% for first 13 year and meaning Depreciation spread equally over the balance years of the useful life of the plant	5.38% for first 13 year and meaning Depreciation spread equally over the balance years of the useful life of the plant (5.81% without land cost as per generic tariff Order)
6	Interest of working capital on two month's receivables	11% per annum	11.50% per annum
7	Discount Rate to arrive at time value of Money	11.20% per annum (Weighted Average Cost of Capital)	11.55% per annum (Weighted Average Cost of Capital)

- h) While considering the above parameters, the Commission has not reckoned the following parameters for the reasons explained against each:
  - (i) Degradation factor & Auxiliary Consumption: While computing minimum contracted energy in a contract year as per the PPA, the degradation factor and Auxiliary Consumption has been considered for the life of the project and hence the same has not been factored in for determining the incremental tariff.

- (ii) As per the norms, the O & M expenses are linked to the capacity of the plant in MW and not dependent on the capital cost of the project. Hence the same has not been factored in for determining the incremental tariff.
- i) The incremental tariff has been determined by this Commission, on the basis of the above parameters. The Commission has arrived at an average tariff for 25 years of life of the project. Considering the Discount Rate being the Weighted Average Capital Cost (WACC), the levellised tariff is determined and indicated in the following Table:

OP No.	Additional	Minimum	Average	Discount	Levelli
	Capital	Contracted	Tariff-	Rate -	sed
	Çost	Energy @	Paise per	Weighted	Incre
	(Amount of	minimum	Unit	Avg. Cost	ment
	\$afeguard	CUF as per		of Capital	al
	Duty & IGST	PPA, in MU		(WACC)	Tariff
	allowed.			%	Paise
	Amount in				Per
	Rs.				unit
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
48/2019	20,82,06,371	79.056	26	11.20	33
49/2019	20,64,71,037	78.84	26	11.55	33
50/2019	20,82,06,371	78.84	26	11.20	33
51/2019	20,82,06,371	79.056	26	11.55	34
52/2019	21,34,77,394	78.84	27	11.55	35

j) On the basis of Minimum CUF, the generation of minimum contracted energy as indicated in Article 5.6 of the PPA, of each of solar power project is reckoned. The petitioner is allowed reimbursement of additional capital cost as shown above, during the period of PPA, as per the above parameters by way of incremental tariff, on the minimum contracted energy per year and is limited to the minimum

contracted energy only. Therefore, in any contract year, if the petitioner supplies more than the minimum contracted energy, it would not be entitled to the incremental tariff.

The computation sheet for the incremental tariff in respect of each of case is annexed to this Order.

k) Hence, Issue No.1 is held accordingly.

# 13. Issue No.2: What Order?

For the above reasons, we proceed to pass the following:

#### ORDER

- a) The levellised incremental tariff per unit in each of the cases is determined as noted in Column (6) of the Table in para 12(i) of this Order.
- b) The incremental tariff so determined shall be applicable on the quantum of minimum contracted energy as indicated in Column (6) of the Table in para 12(i) of this Order, in addition to the tariff per unit stated in Article 12 of the respective PPAs.
- c) It is made clear that for the energy supplied exceeding the minimum contracted energy as indicated in Column (3) of the Table in para 12(i), in any contract year, the petitioner is not entitled to the incremental tariff.
- d) The petitioner is entitled to raise the supplementary bill in each of the cases for the arrears of the incremental tariff at the rate and the minimum contracted energy as ordered

above, from the Commercial Operation Date till the date of this Order. The amount so found to be due under the supplementary bill shall be paid by the respective respondents in three equal monthly instalments with single default clause.

- e) The petitioner is not entitled to any other carrying cost.
- f) The petitioner shall, in each of the cases abide by the undertaking as per the Affidavit dated 19.09.2020 to reimburse the amount received from the respondents, if any, in the event of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, in SLP No.24009-24010/2018 setting aside the Safeguard Duty Notification No.01/2018 Custom (SG) dated 30.07.2018, issued by Ministry of Finance, Government of India. In case, the petitioner fails to repay the amount received from the respondents, then the respondents are at liberty to adjust the amount due to them in the monthly tariff bills.
- g) Accordingly, the petitioner and respondents shall submit the Supplementary Power Purchase Agreements for the approval of the Commission.
- h) The original Order be kept in OP No.48/2019 and copies, thereof, in OP Nos.49/2019, 50/2019, 51/2019 and 52/2019.

sd/-(SHAMBHU DAYAL MEENA) Chairman sd/-(H.M. MANJUNATHA) Member

sd/-(M.D. RAVI) Member

Control   Cont	1427.465   143.145   143.141   112.111   112			1000000	000	ΠΙΙ	<b>*</b>						\$2 00000 \$20000 \$20000
1.01   1.02	1857 445   1857 445				888	ΠΙΙ	\$						\$2 0000 0000 0000 0000
12.12   1	13.00% 23.00% 23.00% 24.619 25.4.619 25			000000	988	[] []	*						22 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
1.51   1.10   1.	18.00%  18.00%			30,000	988		*						2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200
11372   11372	\$2.000000000000000000000000000000000000			30,000	888		*						25 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2
12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12. 12.	\$26.0000   \$26.0000   \$26.0000   \$26.0000   \$26.0000   \$26.0000   \$26.000   \$26.000			30000	888		-						8 000 0 8 000 0
1. 1	\$22.619   \$10000   \$10000   \$10000   \$10000   \$1000   \$1000   \$1000   \$1000   \$1000   \$1000   \$1000   \$1000			0000	9000	[]   [	÷						8000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 000
1.00   1.00	1946   1966   1966   1967 				9000		÷						N 0000
Part	\$ \$2,395   11.00   11.00   12.00   12.00   13.00 			0000	988	[]   [	-						40 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0
Comparison   Com	0,000   1,000   1,00			9898	90 90	[] !	<u>*</u>						25
11.00 to 100 months at 11.00 m	\$ 0.00% \$ 5.38% \$ 13.00 \$ 13.00 \$ 14.00 \$ 14.0			2000	98 98								25 0000 0000 0000
	11.00 			2000	888	[]]]	•						25 0.000 0.000 0.000
State   Stat	1,518   1,51			30000	9888								25 0.000 0.000
The Particular   The Column	(All amounts in Rs. Latins)  1			90000	888								25 0.000 0.000 0.000
1.5   1.5	1 6 9 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		ШШ	2000	888								\$1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Control   Cont	1057.455 1345.232 1223.222 1121.111 1009.000 286.385 776,772 572.657 560.556 448.444 336.333 112.111 112.111 112.111 112.111 112.111 112.111 112.111 112.111 112.111		ШШ	8888	888			- 40	30	ŀ	ŀ	Ш	0000
This column	111.211 11.211 11.211 11.211 11.211 11.211 11.211 11.211 11.211		ШШ		Ш	H	L		0.000	ğ	8	L	0000
Control   Cont			Ш		Ш	ļ			0,000				0000
14   15   15   15   15   15   15   15	1 136,333 123,722 123,722 123,722 126,223 126,718 672,667 562,656 448,444 336,333 224,222		Ш		L				0,000				
Seeder   S	1401389 1289.278 1177.167 1005.056 952.955 840.553 728.722 616.611 904.500 392.389 280.278	۱	L						1 1	Н	Ц		0000
Fig. 19.29   17.29	624.619 624.619 624.619 624.619 624.619 624.619 624.619 624.619 624.619			Ц	П		Ц			6	9	624.619	624.619
1.1.1.1.         1.1.1.1.	z charec on debr 340,139 128,328 117,727 356,506 95,294 84,083 77,277 61,661 50,450 39,239 28,028		3.506						0.00				0000
112.31 112.51 11	87,447 87,447 87,447 87,447 87,447 87,447 87,447								87,447				87.447
Ligh         SSST0	11.511 11.511 11.511 11.511 13.511 13.511 13.511 13.511 13.511 13.511 13.511 13.511 13.511								34,701		"		
C         6.34         6.34         5.39         5.27         5.29         5.	48. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St. St	Ш	34,84					-	20.74			į	
Ribort         33.450         33.450         33.120         33.120         23.120<	4.05 4.05 4.05 5.05 5.05 5.05 4.05 4.05		3,83	2.28					3.28				2.28
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	354,62 354,62 353,20 211,73 300,36 285,94 271,52 266,10 254,66 263,76 231,24	Ц	Ц						124.43		١	ı	124,43
19.056 79			***************************************		+		-	#	1	1			T
In Vision International Control	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O							†	-	1	1		
1,000   1,00	thon in Mily four minimum confrected as a second se								79.056				79.056
Applies         Outside (a)         <	79.056 79.056 79.056 79.056 79.056 79.056 79.056 79.056 79.056		L		L		l	L	79.056				79.005
QAME         QAME <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td></th<>													_
	0,44 0,42 0,41 0,39 0,37 0,37 0,37 0,39 0,39		0.26	0.16					91.0	0.16		Ì	
1,000 0.689 0.680 0.777 0.684 0.584 0.584 0.585 0.475 0.485													
0.34 0.33 0.33 0.35 0.25 0.24 0.25 0.24 0.35 0.25 0.24 0.16 0.14 0.12 0.10 0.10 0.07 0.05 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.07 0.07 0.07	1,000 0,899 0,809 0,727 0,888 0,528 0,758 0,428 0,385 0,386 0	_	0.280		٦				0.133				
	0.444 0.38 0.39 0.39 0.25 0.24 0.45 0.14 0.14 0.12 0.10	_	20'0	0,04					0.02	0.02			1
						W							

saf. (SHAMBHU DAYAL MEENA) Chairnan

Sd/-H.M. MANJUNATHA) Member

sd/-[M.D. RAVI] Member

Assumptions for Financial parameters Cost/50 MW- Rs, Laths Debt: Equity (Ratio)

Debt-Re, Labbs
Interest charges on Debt % per annum
Deh Reparment in Yr.
Cuf

Equily. Rs. tables ROE-35

1484 6.19.413 1.000 0.000 0.000 11.50 5.35%

Tariff Calcutations	[All amounts in Ro. Laths]	h Ra Lath	Ţ																					
Particulors		2	3	P	\$	9	7	_	_	10	1	12 - 33	*	\$\$	- 46	4	18	\$	8	-	- 22	24 1 24	20	٦
Outstanding Debt at beginning	1445.297	1334,121	1222.944	1111.767 10	1000.550	414,688	778.237	55.060 55	555,834 44	107,223	333.530 22	333	Ľ,		L	ODO'O	L	L	0000	UCCU	8	8	8	18
Loan repayment	111.177	111 177	111,177	111.177	111,177	771.11	111.177	131.177 11		111.177	11.177 11	L	111.177 00	0.000					0000	88	L	L	0000	18
Outstanding Debt at end	1334,121	1222.944	1111.767	1000,590	889.414	778,237	667.060	555.884	400	333,530 22	222.353 11	11,177	0,000			L	L		00000	0000	L	L	L	18
Average Debt for the year	1389.709	1278.532	1167.355	1056.179 9	945,002	\$33.825	722,649	611.472 50		75 811.685	277,942	356,765 55	55,588	0.000	0000	L	L			L.	L	L	L	15
Equity	619.413	619,413	619.413	619.413 6	619.413	619,413	619,413 6	L	8	L	Ľ	L	3	9	۳	٦	619.413	ľ	[2	Ι.	519.613	L	ŀ	2 8
Interest charges on debt	145.919	130,245	123.572	110.899	99.225		75.878	64.205	1,4	40.857 2	L	L		L	L	L	l	L	0000		,	1	L	18
ROE	86.718	\$6.718	86,718		86.718	86.718	86.718	86.738	86.718 8	86.776 8	86,718 3			_	ľ	Ĺ	ľ	Ĺ	86.738	86.738	Γ	Γ	ľ	18
Depreciation	111.177	11118	111.18	111.18	111,18	111.18	121.18	111.18		111.18	11118 1	11.18 11.11	111.18 34,	34,412 34,412	L	L		L	34,412	34.412	L		L	12
Working Capital	58.42	56,44	\$2.45	52.47	\$0,49	S. 34	46.52	44.55	42.55	40.57	32.59	36.60	34.62	20.58	56 20.58	30,58				95.02	L		l	28
Interest on IVC	6.72	6.49	6.26	6.03	5.81	5.58	5.35	5.12	69"	4.67	4.44	421	3.98	2.37	L	L		L		2.37			l	L
Total Expendibute	350.53	333.63	326.73	314.63	302.93	20.192	279.12	267.22		243.42 2	297.52	119.61		123.50	23.50	123.50	123.50	77	323.50	33.50	L	Ľ	۳	12
		-			$\frac{1}{2}$		-		H			_				L				_	L		L	: :
		~									L		_			-				-				_
Generation in RNU for minimum contracted														L						_				<u> </u>
chetty	78.840	78.840	78.840	78.840	78,840	78.540	78.840	78,840		78.840 7	73.840 7	78.840	78.840 78.9	78,840	78.840	78.840	75.840	78.340	28.540	78.80m	28.840	22 840	08.847 08.84V	5
Net Generation-units	78.240	78.840	78.840	78,840	78.840	78.840	78.540	78.840	78.840		78.840	78.840	78.840 78.5	78.540 78.840	L		Ĺ	L	78.840	28 840	L	L	1	1
Tartff -KERC		-	•••			_	<u> </u>	  -	Ì	1		L		L		L	L				L	L	L	
Cost/onik	0,44	64.0	0.41	0.40	95'0	0.37	935	0.34	CF C	0.31	67.0	0.28	0.26	0.16	0.16 0.16	91'0 9'	0.16	970	0,16	970	0.16	0.16	6.16	618
		+			-							_										 		
Discount rate	1.000	0.896	0.804	057.0	0.646	0.579	0.519	0.465	0,413	0.174	0.335	0.300	0.269 0.3	0.241 0.216	16 0.194	4 0.174	951'0	0.140	0.125	0.112	0.101	0.090	0.081 0.073	
Discounted tariff	27 C	673	0.33	0.29	SZ.	031	6,18	9.16	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.08	0.07		0.03 0.03	50.0	0.02	0.02	6.02	6,02	0.02	0.01	0.01	
Levellsed briff for Ziyrs	6.32																							

sd/. (SHAMBHU DAYAL MEENA) Cholmon

saa (H.m. man binatha) Member

sar-(M.D. RAVI) Member

70:30 18:07:445 18:00% 18:00% 63:46:49 18:30 0.00% 0.0

Equilibration Programmer Programm

Coay Continue for Financial parameter Coay Continue for Financial parameter Coay Continue for Coay Continue Financia for Coay Continue Financia for Coay Coat Reportment for Yrs.

Tariff Calculations	uome RA)	(All amounts in Rs. Lakhs)	(althe)	_																					
Particulars	_	2	-	4	-	-	-	ŀ		9	1	,	-	ŀ	ŀ		ŀ				ļ				
Outstanding Debt at beginning	1457,445	1345,333	3 1233,222	2 1121.111	3009.000	Ref. Pares	27.7.77	CA3 CCA	260 550	448 400	ŀ	1		1		-	-		_				24	22	
Loan repayment	112,111	L	L	L	L	111 211	113 515	1			1			0000	ļ						000	000	0,000	0,000	
Outstanding Debt at and	E42 5784	ľ	Ī,	Ľ	L			111111	111111	115.121	1							╽	0,000		0.000	0,000	0000	0.000	
Average Debt for the .e.s.	000 000	Ι	L	l	1	100.10	077.00	2000	448,444	336,333	Ţ	_		0.000	0000	0.000	0,000	0.000	0.000	0000	0000		0000	000'0	
	1401.303	_	_	_	1	840.833	728.722	636,611	504.500	392.389	280.278	168.367	56.056	0.000	0000	0.000		0.000	0.000	000			080	ě	
Auriba	624.619	┙	1			624 619	624,619	624.619	624,619	624.519	624,639	624,619 6	624.619 62	9	2		٤	L	9	C	Ę	Ľ	L	010	ſ
interest charges on debt	140,139				_	84.083	578.57	61.661	50.450	39,739	28.028	16.817	3.606	ocorp	0,000,0	0000	L	L		L	L	L	┸		7
MUK	37,447		1		57.047	87.447	87.447	87,447	\$7,447	87,447	87,447	57.947	87,447	87.447	67,447		L	_	ľ	Ľ	Ľ	Ţ	100		
Depreciation	117.11		112.11	1 532,11	112.11	112.11	112.11	112.11	112.13	117.11	112.11		112.11	L	Ĺ	34 703	L	L		1	L	Ļ	7	ì	
Working Capital	19.15	55.77	7 53.87	7 51.96	50.06	48.16	46.25	14.35	42.45	40,54	32.64	ļ	L			,			ļ	1	1	1	34./01	36.701	
Interest on WC	6,34	6.13	56'S [E	3 572	5.51	5.30	88	4,88	4.67	4.46	4.25	200				l		40.7					20.74	207.4	000
Total Expenditure	306.04	134.62	2, ST3, 20	311.78	3400.346	788.94	277.52	266.10	254.68	243.26	281.84	L	Ĺ	Ĺ	]	ľ		ľ		1		1	2.28	2.48	
				_			ľ										****	A4445 164.43	43 124.43	43 324,43	124.43	174.63	124.43	124.43	
			_		1	100	†		+		1	+		-		- -		-							
7000			_	-		1			+	****								-					-	Γ	
Generation in MV for minknum contracted									•••				••••				_	L		-	L			İ	
energy.	78.520	78.840	78,840	72,840	78.840	78,840	78.840	78,840	78.840	76.840	78.840	08.840	THEAT	7 200	07.	70.840	20000	000 01					-		
Net Severation units	78.840	78.840	78.840		78.840	78 840	l	72.840	78 84P	78 800	72 0.50	L	Į					Ĺ	ı	1		1	73.840	78.840	
Nariff AERC						-			-				L			, a.o.	l	/8.84U	78.340	78.840	78.840	78.340	78,840	78.840	
Cost/unit	0.44	0,42	2 0.41	0.40	0.38	0.37	0.35	2	6.20		90.00	54.0	100							ı	1	1		Ť	
								-				3	770	ary		970	0.36 0.36	0.16 U.16	4.76	0.16 0.16	0.16	9.36	0.16	6,16	5.47
Themselve to					-			+								_				_				_	0.26
The state of the s	3.000	1				0.588	0.529	0.476	0,428	0.385	0.346	0.311	0.280	0252	0.226 0	0.203	0.183 D.	0.165	42 0.133	33 0.120	0.100	2000	400	2700	200
Uncounted tank	77.0	0.39	0.33	0.29	0.25	0.22	61.0	91.0	0.34	0.12	0,16	90.0	20.0	9.04	20.0			L			ļ	L	1	2	2
								THE STATE OF THE		STATE OF THE PARTY				10 to	20022	*K000000	2000	90000000	50325355	03860	2000	(3),(3)	500	500	ş
Levelsed tariff for 25 yrs	0.33																								
																		Contract of the Contract of th							

se/-(SHAMBHU DAYAL MEBNA) Choirmon

sd/. (M.D. RAVI) Member

ss/. (H.M. MANJUNATHA) Member

| Assumptions for Financial parametrist |
Cost/Sc MW- RS, Lights	1092,06
Cobts Equity (Raid)	705,00
Debt Regarding to Debt's per sanon	10,50%
Obst Regarding to Debt's per sanon	10,50%
Obst Regarding to Debt's per sanon	10,50%
Obst Regarding to Training to Traini	

Auditor concumption

O & M Examption

O & M Exampton p.a.

W, weiter 69 31,00% on 2 months bill

Depresation 69 3,28% p.a.for 123/15.

Tariff Calculations	MA amon	(All amounts in Rs. Lakhs)	(atta)																						
Particulars	,	2	c		- \$		  -		æ	40	=	45	2	##	15	18	17	18 19	200	21	- 3	33	2	×	
Outstanding Debt at beginning	1457,445	1345,333	1233,222	1117211	1009,000	886,889	784.778	672.667	550,556	448.444	336,333	222	=	8	ğ	8	8	٤	٤	1	\ \{	1	L	3	
Loan repayment	112,211	112.111	נווג,כונ	112,311	112.111	112.111	112.111	112,131	112,211	112.111	117,111	L		0000	L	0000				Ţ	L	1000	1		
Outstanding Debt at god	1345,333	223.522	1121.111	000'6001	688.968	784,778	672.667	560.556		Ĺ	224.322	_	L	900	0000	į			Ĺ		1000	l	_	3	
Average Debt for the year	1401.389	1289.278	1177.167	1065.056	952.945	840.833	728.722	616.611	504.500	L	280278	Ĺ	\$6.056	0000	0000	0000				L	L				
Equity	624.619	624.619	624.619	624.619	624.619	628,619	624.619	624.619	624.619	L	Ĺ	ľ	L		L	L	624.619 62	٥	L	ľ	16	ŝ	Ę	274.618	
interest charges on debt	307,146	135.374	123.603	111.831	100.059	88.228	75.516	54.744	52,973	L	L	Ļ	L		L	L	L	_	╙	1	L	L	L	8	]
ROE	87,447	87.447	87.447	87,447	57,447	87.44.7	87.447	87.447	\$7,847	87,447	87.447		Ĺ	L	Ĺ	L	Ľ	Ľ	Ľ	Ľ	ľ	Ľ	ľ	27.47.7	
Depreciation	112.31	11211	112.11	112,11	132.11	132.13	112.11	112.11	115.11	332.33	112.11	112.11	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	34.701	
Working Capital	58,91	56.93	54.91	52.91	\$0.91	48.91	46.91	44.91	42.93	16'07	38.91	36.91	34.91	20.76	20,76	L		L	L	L	20 TE: N	L	L	27.07	0650
Interest on WC	6.77	6.54	631	5,02	5.85	2.62	\$39	\$.36	4,93	87.3	4.47	4.24	L	23	2.39	2.39				<u> </u>	l	l	L	22.0	
Total Expenditure	358.48	341.48	329.46	317.47	305,47	293.47	281.47	269.47	257.47	225.46	233.46	221.46	209.46	124.53	124.53	L	l	3.5	٤	٦	ľ	٤	٦	136 63	
					-			-	-		-	L	L	L	L							L	L		
						-			$\mid$	-	-					<u> </u>				L				ľ	
Generation in MV for minimum contracted									ļ					<u> </u>		-		-						M	
CHEIRY	950'84	79,055	23,056	79.056	79.056	79,056	79,056	29.056	79,056	79,056	79.056	79.056	79,056	9.036	79.056	79.056	950.67	79.056	79.056	79,056	79.056 79.056	79.054	79.056	79 056	
Net Generation- units	79.056	79.056	79.056	79.056	79,056	79.056	79.056	79.056	79.056	79.056	29,056	79.056	7 950.67	L	350.67	L	Ĺ	L	L	L	ľ	L	Ľ	20.000	
Yardf-KERC							ŀ		-		-		L	L	L	<u> </u>		L	L	L	L	L	Ĺ	2000	
Cost/unit	\$6.0	0.43	0.42	0,40	66.0	0.37	0.36	0.34	0.33	0.31	OF O	5770	25	0.26	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16 e.16	91.0	0.16	ĵ,
					_														ļ						0.26
Discount rate	1.000	0.896	0.804		0.546	0.579	0.519	0.465	0.417	0.374	0.335	0.300	0.269	0.241	912.0	0,194	0.174	0.156	0.140	0.125 0.	0.112 0.101	101	0.001	0.073	80.6
Discounted tanff	0.45	0.39	0,33	£.0	0.25	0.21	0,18	0.16	0,14	0.12	0.10	90.0	26.0	0.04	0,03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0,02	0.02	0.02	L		6.01	ð
Level bed to riff for 25 yes	F																								

sd/-(SHAMBHU DAYAL MEENA) Choirmon

sd/-{M.D. RAVI} Member

sd/-(H.M. MANJUNATHA) Member

# Determination of Incremental Tariff for 50 MW (OP 52/2019)

Average of the properties of t

rty- Ks, leichs

Particulars	-	2	6	ļ	¥D	9	-	8	<u> </u>	-	7	1	**	15	36	1,	18	18	2	24	20	76	3,5	
Outstanding Debt at beginning	1494.342	1379.392	1264,443	1149.494	1034.544	\$95,616	BO4.546	529.696	574,747 459	459,797 344,846	Ĺ	Ľ	0000	0000	0000	0000	8	8	9	5	úS		) may	
Loan repayment	114,949	114.949	114,949	114,949	114,949	114,949	114,949	114.949	- E	L			0.000	orgro	0.000	0.000	0000	0000	L	L	0000	L	2	
Outstanding Debt at end	1979.392	1264.443	1149.494	1034,544	919,595	804,646	589.689	574,747 45	L	1,348 229,899	Ĺ		0000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0,000	0000			L		0000	
Average Debt for the year	1436.867	1321.918	1206.968	1092,019	977,070	862.120	747.171	632.222 \$1	ı	402.323 2£7.373		Ľ		0000	9,000	0,000	0000	0000	l	L		0000	٤	
Equity	£ 640.432	640.432	640.432	640,432	640.432	640.432	540,432	640.432 Er	177	Ĺ	L	Ľ	640,432	640.432	640.432	540.432	L	L	640.432	3	a	12	640.632	
interest charges on debt	150.871	132,801	126.732	114.662	102.592	52525	78.453	ł		L	L		0.000	0,000	0000	0000	L	l	1	1	L	L	0000	
40€	199:61	89.661	89.661	29.661	89.661	199'68	39.561	89.661		r68 t99'68	199'68 [199'68		199'68	399,66	89.661	89.661	L	£9,661.	Ľ	L	_	Ľ	199'68	
Orphedation	114.95	114.95	114.95		114,95	114.95	114.95	114.95	114.95	134.95 134	134.95 114.95		35.580	35.580	35.580	35.580	35.580	35.580	Ļ	_	35,580 35,590	L	35,580	
Worting Capital	D\$-03	58.35	56.30	\$4.25	53.20	\$1.03	61.10	46,05		41.95	39.90	35.79	21.28	27.28	23.28	21.28	21.28	21.28	21.28	21.28 7	L	L	21.28	0000
interest on WC	6.95	6.71	6,47	6.24	9.00	5.77	5, 23	5.30	99	L		5 4.12	L	2.45	2,45	2.45	2.45	2.45	L	L		l	7.45	
Total Expenditure	362,43	350.12	337.82	325.51	313.23	300.90	288.59	276.29	263,96 25	251,68 235	70.722 75.05	7 214.76		127.69	127,69	127.69	127.69	1	L	7	2	ľ	127.69	
						_									-				<u></u>		L	L		
						ļ.,					_				-				-		ŀ			
Generation in MU for minimum contracted										L									L	L	L			
energy	7£,840	78,840	78,840	78.840	78.840	78.940	78.840	78.840			78,840	78,840	78.240	78.840	78.840	78.840	78.84D	78.840	78.540	78.540	79.840 78.840	78.840	78 840	
Net Generation units	78.840	78.940	78,840	78.240	78.840	78,940	78.B40	78.840	78,940 78	78.840 78.	78.840 78.840	78.840	78.840	78.840	78.840	78.840	L	75 840	Ĺ	Ĭ.	L		32 640	
Tartf - KERC		-				-	-			L		L	Ļ				L		L	L	Ļ	L		
Cost/unit	0.45	0.04	57'0	0.43	G\$.0	8£.0	5.37	235	0.33	25.0	0.20	9 0.27	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16 0.36	6.16	0.76	6.70
																								0.77
Discount rate	1.000	0.895	0.304	0.720	0.646	0.579	0.519	0.465	0,417	0.374	0335 0300	0.269	0.243	0216	181.0	0.174	0.156	0.140	0.125	0.117	0.00	0.083	2000	808
Discounted tariff	0.48	97.0	0.34	0.30	0.26	0.22	62.0	0,16	L	0.12	0.09		<u></u>	å,04	g	50.0	9.0	0.02		L	L	L	0.01	3.15
Levellon tariff for 28 un	9.0																			×				
0.000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	Salar and Advantage of the	Sample of the Control	Name State of State of	Control Control	epitemon/process	State of the product of the state of	Charles and Charles	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	CANAGE STREET	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	Service (Company)	STATE OF THE SECOND	SAN	Sec. 10.00	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000	Sec. 1 (188)	E STATE OF THE STA		200				2000	2000

sd/-(SHAMBHU DAYAL MEENA) Chairman

sd/-|M.D. Ravi) Member

54/4 |H.M. MANJUNATHA] |Member