



Petition No. 1752 of 2021

**BEFORE
THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
LUCKNOW**

Date of Order: 14.02.2022

PRESENT:

Hon'ble Shri Raj Pratap Singh, Chairman
Hon'ble Shri Kaushal Kishore Sharma, Member
Hon'ble Shri Vinod Kumar Srivastava, Member (Law)

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition for Truing Up of Tariff for 330 MW (4 X 82.5 MW) Srinagar Hydro Electric Project for the control period FY 2014-15 to FY 2018-19 in terms of Section 62 and 86 of Electricity Act, 2003 read with Uttar Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Determination of Tariff) Regulations, 2014 and Amended and Restated PPA dated 28.06.2006 executed between Alaknanda Hydro Power Company Limited (AHPCL) and Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited (UPPCL)

Alaknanda Hydro Power Co. Limited,
Paigah House, 156-159 Sardar Patel Road,
Secunderabad – 500 003, Telangana

..... Petitioner

AND

Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited,
7th Floor, Shakti Bhawan,
14 Ashok Marg, Lucknow - 226 001

..... Respondent

The following were present:

1. Shri. Janmali M, Advocate, AHPCL
2. Shri. T. Srinivas Reddy, GM, AHPCL
3. Shri. Amit Kapoor, Counsel, AHPCL
4. Shri. Santosh Reddy, AHPCL
5. Shri. P. V. Prasanna Reddy, AHPCL Representative
6. Shri. Deepak Raizada, CE- PPA, UPPCL
7. Shri. Divyanshu Bhatt, Counsel, UPPCL
8. Shri. Rama Shankar Awasthi, Consumer Representative

**Order
(Date of Hearing: 01.02.2022)**



1. The Commission vide Order dated 29.11.2021 granted four weeks' time to the Respondent for filing replies on the information submitted by the Petitioner on 10.11.2021 and one week time thereafter to the Petitioner for submitting comprehensive rejoinder to UPPCL reply dated 07.09.2021 and UPPCL's reply on the information submitted by the Petitioner on 10.11.2021.

2. The Petitioner submitted its rejoinder on 30.12.2021 towards UPPCL reply dated 07.09.2021. The Respondent submitted its reply on 28.01.2022 to the information submitted by the Petitioner on 10.11.2021.

The matter came up for the hearing today.

3. Shri. Deepak Raizada, UPPCL requested for an adjournment in the hearing as their Counsel Shri. Divyanshu Bhatt and his office staff were infected with COVID-19.

4. Shri. R. S. Awasthi, Consumer Representative has filed an impleadment application in the matter. The Commission asked the Petitioner for any objection to the application of Shri Awasthi, against which Shri. Janmali M, Advocate, AHPCL submitted that the Petitioner has no objection.

5. The Commission, though was not impressed upon by impleadment application of Sh. Awasthi at such late stage in the matter, however, keeping in view the transparent process of hearing, directed Shri. Janmali M, Advocate, AHPCL to submit the Petition copy through E-mail to Shri. R. S. Awasthi, Consumer Representative. The Commission on the request of Shri. R. S. Awasthi, Consumer Representative, granted 10 days of time in filing the reply in the matter, which is to be submitted to UPPCL and AHPCL along with a copy to the Commission.

6. The Commission also directed Shri. Janmali M, Advocate, AHPCL to submit a detailed power point presentation with an affidavit covering the claims of the Petitioner in the instant matter, a table showing the approved tariff components (Annual Fixed Charges) and Energy Charges approved vide MYT Order dated 08.02.2021, Review Order dated 17.05.2021 in Petition No. 1401/2019 & 1628/2020 and 1694/21 respectively and actuals claimed against the same showing net revenue gap/surplus for the control period FY 2014-15 to FY 2018-19.

List the matter for next hearing on 18th February 2022.

(Vinod Kumar Srivastava)
Member

(Kaushal Kishore Sharma)
Member

(Raj Pratap Singh)
Chairman

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 14.02.2022