

**BEFORE THE HARYANA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
BAYS No. 33-36, SECTOR-4, PANCHKULA- 134112, HARYANA**

**Case No. HERC/PRO-12 of 2019**

**Date of Hearing : 23.02.2023**  
**Date of Order : 23.02.2023**

**In the Matter of**

**Petition under Part VII Section 61, Section 62, Section 64 (5) and part X Section 86 (1) (b) of the Electricity Act, 2003, read with the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission Regulations and Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2014 for the Determination of Tariff for Power supply from 36 MW (3x12 MW) Chanju-I Hydro Electric Project located on the Chanju Nallah in the Chamba district of Himachal Pradesh.**

**Petitioner**

M/s. IA Hydro Energy Pvt. Ltd.

**Respondent**

Haryana Power Purchase Centre, Panchkula (HPPC)

**Present on behalf of the Petitioner**

1. Shri. S.K. Goyal, CEO
2. Shri. Sanjay Sharma
3. Sh. Vikas Kumar, Advocate
4. Sh. Manish Paliwal, Advocate
5. Sh. Ankit Aggarwal, Consultant

**Present on behalf of the Respondent**

1. Ms. Nikita Choukse, counsel, HPPC
2. Ms. Aabha, XEN/HPPC

**Quorum**

**Shri R.K Pachnanda**  
**Shri Naresh Sardana**

**Chairman**  
**Member**

**INTERIM ORDER**

1. The case was taken-up for public hearing, as scheduled on 23.02.2023 in the Court Room of the Commission.
2. The learned counsel Ms. Nikita Choukse, appeared for the HPPC/Discoms and reiterated the comments filed by HPPC on the tariff petition filed by M/s. IA Hydro Energy Pvt. Ltd.

3. Shri. S.K. Goyal, CEO along with Sh. Vikas Kumar, Advocate, appeared for the petitioner i.e. M/s IA Hydro Energy Pvt. Ltd.
  - a) In compliance to the directions issued by the Commission in its Interim Order dated 09.02.2023, the counsel informed that public notice was issued in two newspapers and accordingly, they have received comment of only one stakeholder, i.e. Sh. V K Jain.
  - b) The counsel laid emphasis on the order issued by Chhatisgarh ERC in this matter and stated that Chhatisgarh ERC's has allowed Rs 604.27 crore for capital cost, and determined tariff @ 5.98 per Kwh in their order. A copy of the said order was placed on record.
  - c) He further requested that capital cost may be approved on the same basis as the cost was approved by Chhatisgarh ERC and other components of the cost may be approved as per the relevant HERC Regulations.
4. The counsel for the respondent submitted that the Hon'ble Commission may consider the written reply filed by them read with the observations of the Hon'ble Commission while according "source approval" to the petitioner's 36 MW power project.
5. The counsel for the petitioner also suggested that further hearing in the matter may not be necessary and sought some time to file their written submissions in response to the comments filed by HPPC. The counsel for the respondent also sought time to file replication to the written submissions of the petitioner.
6. Upon hearing the parties, the Commission observes that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has remanded the matter back to this Commission for passing Tariff Order, within two months from 24.01.2023. Hence, keeping in view the timeline for issuing the tariff order in the present matter, the Commission allows 5 days' time to the petitioner to file the written submissions with an advance copy to the petitioner. And further allows 3 days' time to the respondent to file their response after the written submissions have been filed by the petitioner.
7. The order is reserved.

This order is signed, dated and issued by the Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission on 23.02.2023.

**Date: 23.02.2023**  
**Place: Panchkula**

**(Naresh Sardana)**  
**Member**

**(R.K. Pachnanda)**  
**Chairman**