(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

<b>PRES</b>	EN	T:-
-------------	----	-----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.8 of 2023

M/s.Dollar Industries Limited ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) Director / Generation, TANGEDCO & Ors. .....Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.04.2023 DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced.

- "2.12. With the above observations, following order is issued:
- 1. While appreciating the petitioner for the initiative taken in Energy Storage System, the Commission is pleased to grant permission to the petitioner to set up 4 MWhr Battery Energy Storage System powered by their allied 2 MW Solar Power Plant in same site, first of its kind in the State.
- 2. The petitioner is permitted to adjust the stored energy exported in to the grid in the respective slots/blocks including peak hour slot.
- 3. All charges applicable and other related terms and conditions are as per the regulations and orders of the Commission in force from time to time.
- 4. The current harmonics shall be controlled and maintained by the petitioner as stipulated in the Supply Code of the Commission, as amended.
- 5. The technical, commercial, operation and maintenance conditions, metering, protection and billing mechanism, other related matters etc. shall be communicated comprehensively to the petitioner by the Licensee in clear terms. All such conditions shall be part of the agreement to be executed bilaterally between the petitioner and licensee. Ordered accordingly".

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

<b>PRESENT</b>	:-
----------------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.11 of 2023

CE/NCES, TANGEDCO

..... Petitioner (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.04.2023 DAILY ORDER

Order pronounced.

- 2.6. Consolidating the above, the following orders are issued:
- (1) The structure of working procedure for implementation of Green Energy Tariff and issuance of Green Energy Certificate by the TANGEDCO is approved in principle subject to the conditions stated supra.
- (2) The licensee shall encourage the consumers in letter and spirit who opt to procure Green power. The licensee shall designate consumers who opt to procure green power to meet 100% of their consumption as "Green Consumers" in recognition of their noble contribution to society, by mitigating carbon emission.
- (3) TANGEDCO shall provide the facility of requesting for Green Power Tariff through its Web Portal within a month from the date of this order. However, the applications on hand and applications likely to be received before implementation of on line web portal processing shall be processed manually and disposed without delay, preferably within a fortnight.
- (4) The Green Tariff and its implementation shall take effect from the date of this order.

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### I.A.No.1 of 2023 & M.P.No.12 of 2023

M/s.Tagros Chemicals India Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

.....Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsel for the petitioner appeared. Brief arguments heard from the petitioner. It is submitted by the petitioner that charges are sought to be collected for the existing lines laid in 1994 by M/s.J.K.Pharma and energizing is refused on account of non-payment of such charges. It is further submitted by the petitioner that Rs.50 lakhs loss is incurred every day due to non-effecting service connection. Petition admitted. The concerned Superintending Engineer of TANGEDCO is directed to effect the additional load electricity supply to the petitioner within the existing infrastructure. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2023 for filling counter.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:
----------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member
Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.4 of 2023

Tamil Nadu Newsprint & Papers Limited ..... Petitioner

(Adv. Rahul Balaji)

Versus

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors. .....Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

### Hearing dated :25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsel for the petitioner appeared. Brief arguments heard. Petition admitted. The case is adjourned to 08.06.2023 for filing counter.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### R.P.No.2 of 2022

M/s.Techno Electric & Engg. Co. Ltd. ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

.....Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru. N.Kumanan, Standing Counsel for the respondents appeared and sought time for filing counter. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 13-06-2023 for filing counter on the maintainability and merits of the review petition.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### I.A.No.1 of 2023 in D.R.P.No.5 of 2021

M/s.Arkay Energy (Rameswaram) Ltd. ..... Petitioner (Adv.Anirudh Krishnan)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO Ltd & Ors.

.....Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Ms.Anisha Chandrakumar, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for the petitioner appeared. The counsel for TANGEDCO sought time for filing counter in I.A.No.1 of 2023 as the copy of the I.A. petition was received by the TANGEDCO yesterday only. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 13-06-2023 for filing counter on the impleading of TANTRANSCO and SLDC.

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:	<u>-</u>
----------	----------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.11 of 2022

Power Engineers Society of Tamil Nadu (PESOT) ..... Petitioner

(Rep.by its President)

Versus

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO

(ii) M/s.PPN Power Generating Co. Pvt. Limited .....Respondent

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.Gandhi, President represented Power Engineers' Society of Tamil Nadu (PESOT). Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for the petitioner appeared and sought time for filing counter. Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for M/s.PPN Power Generating Co. Pvt. Limited (R-2) and sought time for filing counter. The petitioner objected to the delay in filing of counter by TANGEDCO as one year has already been elapsed. While the counsel for the R-2 questioned the locus standi of the petitioner in regard to a bilateral contract, the petitioner sought to justify the intervention as being in public interest. At the request of the respondents side, the case is adjourned to 13.06.2023 for filing counter on the maintainability of the petition as well as on merits by R-1 & R-2.

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member
Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.3 of 2023

The South Indian Sugar Mills Association - TN ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

.....Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &

TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

**Hearing dated : 25.04.2023** 

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for the petitioner appeared and submitted that the counter affidavit would be filed today. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2023 for arguments. Meanwhile, the counter affidavit to be served on the petitioner.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member
Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.18 of 2021

Amarjothi Spinning Mills Ltd. ..... Petitioner (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

.....Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for filing rejoinder. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel for the petitioner appeared. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 08-06-2023 for filing rejoinder.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

## (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan Member Thiru.B.Mohan

.... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.6 of 2022

M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(Adv. Veda)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors. .....Respondents

> (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### DAILY ORDER

Thiru. Shiva Bharathi, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for filing rejoinder. Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 08.06.2023 for filing rejoinder.

(Sd.....) (Sd.....) (Sd.....) (B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar) Member(legal) Member Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

## D.R.P.No.9 of 2022

M/s.Palvai Green Power Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner (Adv.Veda)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

.....Respondent
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

**Hearing dated** : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Shiva Bharathi, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for filing rejoinder. Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 08.06.2023 for filing rejoinder.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member
Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Leg

.... Member(Legal)

## D.R.P.No.2 of 2023

NLC India Limited ..... Petitioner (HSB Advocate)

Versus

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

.....Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Ganesh, Advocate from M/s.HSB Advocates appeared for the petitioner.

Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the petitioner sought time for filing rejoinder. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 20.06.2023 for filing rejoinder.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:
----------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

T.L.A.No.1 of 2019

Star Eco Energy Private Limited ..... Petitioner (Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for filing details. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 20.06.2023 for filing required details as a last chance.

(Sd......) (Sd......) (Sd.......)
(B.Mohan) (K.Venkatesan) (M.Chandrasekar)
Member(legal) Member Chairman

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.13 of 2022

M/s.Grace Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd ..... Petitioner

(M/s.Rugan & Arya)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

.....Respondent
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.04.2023 DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Srinivasan, Advocate from M/s.Rugan and Arya appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that TANGEDCO has paid the first instalment, which is around Rs.4.8 crores to the petitioner but failed to give schedule for the balance payment. Commission directed that the schedule of future payment shall be drawn up from 11.04.2023, the date on which the first payment was made and the schedule for future payment shall be drawn up in such a way that Rs.5.00 crores is paid as a monthly instalment until the dues are cleared with the final amount paid on the last instalment. TANGEDCO to release payment of Rs.5.00 crores each month as a monthly instalment. The case is adjourned to 27.06.2023 for reporting status.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:
----------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.31 of 2022

M/s.Bhabani Pigments Pvt. Limited ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors. .....Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

**Hearing dated** : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsel for the respondents appeared and submitted that the dues were paid to the petitioner yesterday itself. The counsel for the petitioner sought short adjournment for checking up at his end. The case is adjourned to 08.06.2023 for reporting status.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member
Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.4 of 2023

M/s.Pandian Chemicals Limited ..... Petitioner

(Adv.S.Yasar Arafath)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.Yasar Arafath, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the amount of Rs.1,10,000/- has been paid by the respondent and prayed to close the petition. Hence, the petition is treated as closed.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

PF	RES	SE	N٦	Γ:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.1 of 2019

Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd. ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balji)

Versus

(i) TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

**Hearing dated** : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and wanted to file an additional affidavit. The counsel for the petitioner vehemently opposed the filing additional counter affidavit as the matter is in the concluding stages. Questioning the filing of additional affidavit without prior leave, Commission directed TANGEDCO to first file an application seeking leave of the Commission to file an additional counter affidavit. Respondent vouched to file necessary application for receiving additional affidavit in the course of the day. For filing counter in the proposed I.A., case is adjourned to 06.06.2023.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### **PRESENT:-**

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### D.R.P.No.2 of 2019

Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd. ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balji)

Versus

(i) TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

**Hearing dated** : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and wanted to file an additional affidavit. The counsel for the petitioner vehemently opposed the filing additional counter affidavit as the matter is in the concluding stages. Questioning the filing of additional affidavit without prior leave, Commission directed TANGEDCO to first file an application seeking leave of the Commission to file an additional counter affidavit. Respondent vouched to file necessary application for receiving additional affidavit in the course of the day. For filing counter in the proposed I.A., case is adjourned to 06.06.2023.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	ES	E١	IT	:-
----	----	----	----	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.4 of 2019

Rajshree Sugars & Chemicals Ltd. ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balji)

Versus

(i) TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

**Hearing dated : 25.04.2023** 

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and wanted to file an additional affidavit. The counsel for the petitioner vehemently opposed the filing additional counter affidavit as the matter is in the concluding stages. Questioning the filing of additional affidavit without prior leave, Commission directed TANGEDCO to first file an application seeking leave of the Commission to file an additional counter affidavit. Respondent vouched to file necessary application for receiving additional affidavit in the course of the day. For filing counter in the proposed I.A., case is adjourned to 06.06.2023.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### D.R.P.No.13 of 2021

M/s.EID Parry (India) Limited ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.04.2023

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and expressed readiness for arguments. The counsel for the petitioner sought adjournment for arguments and informed that e-filing of rejoinder would be done today and hard copy will be filed later. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 13.06.2023 for arguments of the respondent.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman .... Member .... Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member .... Member(Legal)

#### D.R.P.No.17 of 2021

M/s.Dharani Sugars & Chemicals Limited ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

**Hearing dated** : 25.04.2023

### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner and sought time for arguments. Thiru.N.Kumanan & Thiru.A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. At the request of the petitioner side, the case is adjourned to 08.06.2023 for further arguments of the petitioner.

(Sd.......)(Sd.........)(Sd.........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### I.A.No.1 of 2023 in M.P.No.3 of 2022

M/s.SEPC Power Private Limited ..... Petitioner

(M/s.J.Sagar Associates)

Versus

CMD/ TANGEDCO

..... Respondents (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Ms.Gayatri Aryan, Advocate from M/s.JSA Law Associates appeared for the petitioner. Thiru.Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for the respondent. Brief arguments heard from both sides on the admission of the I.A. filed by the respondent. Additional document filed by the petitioner. Additional typedset filed by the TANGEDCO. Brief arguments heard. The I.A. filed by TANGEDCO for modification of Daily Order dated 09.03.2023 is admitted. Liberty granted to the petitioner to file counter, if any. As agreed by both sides after brief arguments, the petitioner shall not go for spot market for Indian Coal. The case is adjourned to 13.06.2023 for further arguments and deciding the I.A. preferred by TANGEDCO on merit.

(Sd.....)
(B.Mohan)
Member(legal)

(Sd.....)
(K.Venkatesan)
Member

(Sd......)
(M.Chandrasekar)
Chairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.16 of 2022

Aditanar Educational Institution ..... Petitioner

(Adv.Rajesh Vivekananthan)

Versus

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.04.2023
DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Sanjay, Junior to Thiru.Rajesh Vivekananthan, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. Brief arguments heard from the petitioner. Petitioner is given the liberty to approach Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum (CGRF) which shall examine the matter afresh uninfluenced by its earlier orders and in the event of being aggrieved by the order of CGRF, the petitioner shall approach the Ombudsman. Accordingly, the case is disposed of.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PR	ES	E١	IT	:-
----	----	----	----	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### M.P.No.18 of 2022

Thiru.Somasundaram, Tiruppur ..... Petitioner (Adv.K.Sasindran)

Versus

(i) CMD/TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Chandru, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO and sought short adjournment. Petitioner side ready for arguments. The case is adjourned to 13.06.2023 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

Р	R	Ε	S	Ε	N	T	:-
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### D.R.P.No.4 of 2022

M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO & Ors. ..... Respondents

(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

**Hearing dated : 25.04.2023** 

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsel for the respondents appeared and sought short adjournment for Senior Advocate, Thiru.P.Wilson's appearance in the matter. Petitioner side ready for arguments. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 08.06.2023 for arguments.

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

<b>PRESENT</b>	:-
----------------	----

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

#### D.R.P.No.5 of 2022

M/s.Sulochana Cotton Spinning Mills Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

- (i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO
- (ii) CFC/Revenue
- (iii) CE/IT Wing
- (iv) SE/Dindigul EDC

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsel for the respondents appeared and sought short adjournment for Senior Advocate, Thiru.P.Wilson's appearance in the matter. Petitioner side ready for arguments. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 08.06.2023 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

PRESENT:-
-----------

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.6 of 2022

M/s.Hindustan Textiles ..... Petitioner

(Adv.R.S.Pandiyaraj)

Versus

(i) CE/NCES, TANGEDCO

(ii) CFC/Revenue

(iii) CE/IT Wing

(iv) SE/Dindigul EDC

..... Respondents (Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO & TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated: 25.04.2023

DAILY ORDER

Thiru.S.P.Parthasarathy, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsel for the respondents appeared and sought short adjournment for Senior Advocate, Thiru.P.Wilson's appearance in the matter. Petitioner side ready for arguments. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 08.06.2023 for arguments.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

# (Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman
Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member
Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

D.R.P.No.10 of 2022

M/s.OPG Power Generation Pvt. Ltd. ..... Petitioner (Adv.Rahul Balaji)

Versus

- (i) CMD/ TANGEDCO
- (ii) CE/PPP
- (iii) TANTRANSCO
- (iv) SLDC

..... Respondents (Adv.Richardson Wilson)

**Hearing dated** : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.Rahul Balaji, Advocate appeared for the petitioner. Thiru. Richardson Wilson, Advocate appeared for TANGEDCO and sought time for filing counter on the I.A. filed by the petitioner. At the request of the respondent, the case is adjourned to 06.06.2023 for filing counter.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003)
(Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.7 of 2022

Thiru.M.Xavier, Tuticorin ..... Petitioner

(Rep. by Tiru.S.Neelakanta Pillai)

Versus

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### **DAILY ORDER**

Thiru.S.Neelakanta Pillai represented the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan and A.P.Venkatachalapathy Standing Counsel for the petitioner appeared. Brief arguments of the petitioner heard. The CMD/TANTRANSCO shall file separate counter affidavits to the petition filed by the petitioner particularly with reference to the 24 hour power supply. The counter to be served on the petitioner well in advance. The case is adjourned to 06.06.2023 for filing of counter by CMD/TANTRANSCO.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//

(Constituted under section 82 (1) of the Electricity Act, 2003) (Central Act 36 of 2003)

#### PRESENT:-

Thiru.M.Chandrasekar .... Chairman Thiru.K.Venkatesan .... Member

Thiru.B.Mohan .... Member(Legal)

M.P.No.5 of 2023

M/s.K.G.Foundations Pvt. Limited ..... Petitioner

(M/s.Stephen & Stephen Advocate Associates)

Versus

(i) CMD/ TANGEDCO & Ors.

..... Respondents
(Tvl.N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy
Standing Counsels for TANGEDCO &
TANTRANSCO/SLDC)

Hearing dated : 25.04.2023

#### DAILY ORDER

Thiru.Senthil Viswarooban and Thiru.Franlin, Advocates from M/s.Stephen & Stephen Advocates Associates appeared for the petitioner. Tvl. N.Kumanan & A.P.Venkatachalapathy, Standing Counsel appeared for TANGEDCO. Arguments of the petitioner heard and written arguments of the petitioner filed. The counsel for TANGEDCO undertook to file written arguments before 06.06.2023. At the request of the respondent side, the case is adjourned to 06.06.2023 for filing written arguments of the respondent.

(Sd.......)(Sd........)(Sd........)(B.Mohan)(K.Venkatesan)(M.Chandrasekar)Member(legal)MemberChairman

//True Copy//