O&M Expenses

Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
	Issue Flagged: Whether norms to be included with regard to allowing additional expenses on account of any change in law resulting in an increase in O&M expenses. Possible approach to be explored so that such additional impact may be allowed. Genesis – Delayed recovery of additional expenses on account of change in law resulting in an increase in O&M expenses in the absence of relevant provision under current regulations and time consuming regulatory proceedings for change in law Petitions.	Whether to include any provisions with regard to allowing impact of a change in law on O&M expenses?



Depreciation and Interest on Loan Capital

Issue Flagged: Front Loading of Tariff observed due to shorter Loan Tenure and higher Depreciation in the initial years Increased Loan Tenure may be considered for computation of Depreciation There is a need to create a balance and align depreciation rate with actual loan tenure and life of the assets. Genesis - Excessive front loading of tariff increases resistance to future investments. Interest on Loan Capital Genesis - Since Loans availed by Utilities are not project specific. Issue Flagged: Front Loading of Tariff observed due to shorter Loan Tenure and higher Depreciation in the initial years In considered is 12 years whereas life of most of the assets are 2 years. It is observed that shorter Loan Tenure and higher depreciation the initial years has resulted in front loading of tariff. Considering that nowadays loans are available for 15-18 year availability of several innovative refinancing options, depreciation may be may be specified considering loan tenure of 15 years inst the current practice of 12 years. Further, additional provisions may be specified that allow lower rate of depreciation to be charged by generator in the initial years if mutually agreed upon wit beneficiary(ies). [The risk of increase in interest rate due to higher loan tenure in minimum for regulated entities.] Interest on Loan Capital Genesis - Since Loans availed by Utilities are not project specific.	Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
Issue Flagged: Establishing one on one co-relation between non-project specific loan is difficult while working out WAROI Interest on Loan Capital Issue Flagged: Establishing one on one co-relation between non-project specific loan is difficult while working out WAROI To simplify the approval of interest on loans, the weighted actual rate of interest of the generating company or transm licensee may be considered instead of project specific interest on Further, the cost of hedging related to foreign loans be allowed actual basis, without allowing any actual FERV. Comments and suggestions are sought from stakeholders on the	Depreciation	Tenure and higher Depreciation in the initial years Increased Loan Tenure may be considered for computation of Depreciation Rates There is a need to create a balance and align depreciation rate with actual loan tenure and life of the assets. Genesis - Excessive front loading of tariff increases resistance to	Considering that nowadays loans are available for 15-18 years and availability of several innovative refinancing options, depreciation rates may be may be specified considering loan tenure of 15 years instead of the current practice of 12 years. Further, additional provisions may also be specified that allow lower rate of depreciation to be charged by the generator in the initial years if mutually agreed upon with the beneficiary(ies).
Interest on Loan Capital Project specific loan is difficult while working out WAROI To simplify the approval of interest on loans, the weighted actual rate of interest of the generating company or transm licensee may be considered instead of project specific interest on Further, the cost of hedging related to foreign loans be allowed actual basis, without allowing any actual FERV. Comments and suggestions are sought from stakeholders on the		Issue Flagged: Establishing one on one co-relation between non-	· ·
Genesis - Since Loans availed by Utilities are not project specific,		project specific loan is difficult while working out WAROI	To simplify the approval of interest on loans, the weighted average actual rate of interest of the generating company or transmission licensee may be considered instead of project specific interest on loans. Further, the cost of hedging related to foreign loans be allowed on an
process taking in consideration the time and effort involved in FERV/cost of hedging establishing one on one co-relation.	_	it makes the exercise of approving WAROI a time intensive process taking in consideration the time and effort involved in	suggestions and alternatives, including in respect of treatment of

Return on Equity

Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
Return on	 Issue Flagged: Risk perception of power generation projects has increased owing to increased insolvency proceedings. Strong energy demand revival post COVID-19 has triggered the additional capacity building requirement. 	Comments and Suggestions are sought on following: 1. Review of Rate of RoE to be allowed including that to be allowed on additional capitalization that are carried out on account of Change in Law and Force Majeure. 2. Whether revised rate of RoE to be made applicable to only new projects or to both existing and new projects? 3. Whether incentivizing timely completion of hydro generating station
1 8 1	Genesis - Although despite taking sufficient measures to ensure the timely commissioning of generation projects, delay in commission of projects is prevalent. Further ways to incentivize developers needs to be explored and ways to deter the delay needs to be explored.	Dam/reservoir based projects including PSP. 5. Merit in allowing RoE by linking the rate of return with market interest rates such as G-SEC rates/MCLR/RBI Base Rate.

RoE - Old Generating Stations

Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
Return on Equity - Old Gencos	Issue Flagged: Whether there is a case to allow higher RoE to Old but efficient Generating Stations? Additional RoE for such old but efficient generating stations; or Additional Incentive in (Paise/kWh) for generation in excess of target PLF. Genesis - Due to low capital base of Old Stations,	Risk-Reward proposition may not be equitable for operating Such Old Generating Stations. Operation of such plants is beneficial for beneficiaries. Possible options to encourage higher availability and generation from Old Generating Stations can be as follows. 1. Alternatively, additional incentive in the form of paise/kWh apart from that being currently allowed may be allowed to such generating stations
	Equity in Paise/kWh working out to be around 22 Paise/kWh as against around 65 Paise/kWh (new generating Stations). Most of these stations are pit head based whose energy charges are low. Unfavourable Risk -Reward Proposition	against generation beyond target PLF.



Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
Tax Rate	Issue Flagged: Effective Tax Rate under no circumstances can be higher than the applicable tax rate (MAT/Corporate Tax etc.) Tax to be payable only in case company is paying taxes. Effective Tax Rate to be limited to the applicable tax Rate. (Additional Clarity to be provided). Genesis - Effective tax rate can never be higher than the notified tax rate as per relevant Finance Act Additional Clarity being provided.	 At MAT Rates (If not opted for Section 115 BAA) At Effective Tax Rate (if not opted for Section 115BAA) subject to ceiling of Corporate Tax Rate; or At reduced tax rate under Section 115BAA of the Income Tax Act or any other relevant categories notified from time to time time subject to ceiling of rate specified in the relevant Finance Act



Interest on Working Capital

Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
	 Issue Flagged: Since current Working Capital Norms are efficient, therefore, existing norms may be retained. Modification required in the norms of old gas generating stations – in view of low PLF 	With regard to gas based generating stations, it is observed that such generating stations are operating with PLF of around 20%-25%. It is anticipated that these generating stations shall continue to operate at such low PLFs in the next tariff period and therefore the current practice of allowing working capital requirement considering generation at normative PLF may need review.
	3. Alternative approach for determination of IoWC as some percentage of AFC	With regard to thermal and gas based generating station, fuel cost forms sizeable part of the working capital requirement and as working capital requires truing up on the basis of actuals primarily because of changing fuel expenses it is to be explored how working capital can be approved such that yearly truing up is not
Interest on	Whether to continue the existing provisions?	required.
Working Capital	Genesis - The working capital norms specified by the Commission currently deals with all the issues.	Comments and Suggestions are sought on following: 1. Whether any modification is required in the Working Capital Norms. 2. Whether any modification is required in the norms of old gas generating
	Further, older Gas Stations operating with lower PLF	stations to factor in the actual generation while allowing working capital
	and costlier cost of generation are scheduled only to	requirement for gas based generating stations
	meet peak requirements. Such stations are expected to operate in at low PLF upcoming control period.	3. Whether Rate of Interest for WC may be continued at one-year MCLR plus 350 bps or any better alternative to the same
	Possible alternatives to be explored to approve the	4. Alternative approach to approve IoWC that may not require periodic truing
	IoWC without the requirement of yearly True-Up	up.

Life of Generating and Transmission System

Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
	Issue Flagged: Increase in life of thermal Projects and Transmission sub-station from 25 years to 35 years	It is observed that as more and more coal based thermal generating stations are operating efficiently even beyond 25 years, there may be a case to align normative life of these stations considering that with proper upkeep these generating stations can operate even beyond 30 years.
	The life of Thermal Stations and Transmission Sub-station may be	Similarly, in case of Transmission Sub-stations it is observed that these assets can operate way beyond 25 years similar to transmission lines.
Life of Generating and Transmission System	increased to 35 Years as more and more TPS and Sub-stations are operational beyond 25 Years Genesis - Proper upkeep has enabled	It is however observed that one of the factors that has enabled these assets to operate beyond 25 years is regular operations and maintenance carried out by the utilities. The Commission in the past has allowed special allowance to these assets in order to take care of the increasing need of repairs that is required to keep the equipment operating efficiently. As the need for higher repairs will still be required therefore the current
	thereby making a case for reassessing normative life of these assets	Comments and Suggestions are sought on following: 1. Whether the life of Thermal Generating Stations and Transmission Sub-stations can be increased from 25 to 35 Years. 2. Whether Special Allowance or provision of R&M may be allowed post 25 Years



Input Price of Coal - Integrated Mine and Treatment of Interest on Differential

Tariff after Truing-Up

Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
Input Price of Coal - Integrated Mine	In view of no compelling reasons to revisit the current terms and conditions for determination of input price of coal, it is proposed that the current provisions may be continued. Genesis - Much actual data is not available to review the current operational norms and other provisions. Further, not many such mines have achieved their commercial operations.	operational norms and other provisions. In view of no compelling reasons to revisit the current terms and conditions for determination of input price of coal, it is proposed that the current provisions may be continued.
Treatment of Interest on Differential Tariff after Truing-Up	Issue Flagged: Concern raised by Stakeholders on the method of charging interest on the Surplus/Gap In view of rising concern among the stake holders with regard to charging interest on the surplus/gap, possible approach may be explored to simplify the process. Genesis – Interest on the surplus/gap is charged up to the liquidation of the last instalment.	Six equal Monthly Installments. However, stakeholders have raised concerns over the method of charging interest on the differential amount up to the liquidation of the last instalment. In order to streamline the rate of interest on the differential amount, the current practice of allowing a simple interest rate as per Regulation 10(7) in the 2024-29 tariff block may be continued. Further, interest may be allowed to be charged on the differential amount by the Utility only until the issuance of the Order, and no interest may be allowed during the recovery in six equal monthly instalments.

Operational Parameters Impacting Tariff



Normative Plant Availability Factor (NAPAF)

Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
Normative Plant Availability Factor (NAPAF)	Issue Flagged: 1. No specific methodology for computing PAF of Run-of River (ROR) Plants 2. Review of Existing Norms Required A mechanism needs to be specified for working out the NAPAF for ROR Plants Genesis - Changing Dynamics affect the NAPAF for Thermal and Hydro Stations may need fine tuning.	"In case of purely run-of-river power stations, declared capacity means the ex-bus capacity in MW expected to be available from the generating station during the day (all blocks), as declared by the generating station, taking into account the availability of water, optimum use of water and availability of machines;" 2. Any other methodology that can be considered for the computation of plant



Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
Peak and Off-Peak Tariff	and low demand season declared by RLDCs. Intervention required to ease off the operational difficulties faced by generators due to	The current provisions require the Regional Load Despatch Centres (RLDCs) to notify in advance the months of high-demand season and low demand season so that overhauling can be planned by the generators accordingly. As recovery of reasonable costs is of prime importance for any infrastructure sectoral growth, comments/suggestions are sought on the possible interventions/modifications required to address the issues highlighted above. Specific suggestions are also sought on the following: 1. Whether it would be advisable to limit the recovery based on daily



Compensation for Part Load Operations and GCV of Fuel

Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
	Issue Flagged: Compensation for low load operation below 55% PLF. Impact to be allowed on actual or normative basis.	Compensation mechanism for part loads operations below normative level up to technical minimum was included as part of the Amendment to the Indian Electricity Grid Code, 2010 in the year 2017. Commission in IEGC has decided to make this part of Tariff Regulations.
Compensation for Part Load	Compensation for Operations below 55%. Impact on actual or normative.	Accordingly, the appropriate provisions may be inserted to deal with part load operation compensation as a part of Tariff Regulations.
Operation	Genesis - Due to significant RE Penetration, PLF	Further actual operations is falling below 55% in some cases and may require redressal.
	goes below 55% in some cases. Further, impact should be either on actual basis or normative basis and not whichever is lower.	Further, impact of part load may be allowed on either on normative basis or actual basis. Comments and Suggestions are sought on the existing norms and any changes that may be required to compensate the generators to operate the plants in a flexible manner to support the Grid.
	Issue Flagged: Current Provisions may be continued. In view of the wider acceptability found for the	It has been observed that the approach adopted by the Commission has found wider acceptability, however, it is observed that the variation in GCV "as billed" and "as received" is significant due to loss of GCV at mine end and during transportation often leading to grade slippages.
	approach adopted by the Commission, the current provisions may be continued.	
	Genesis - No compelling reason to modify the same.	Accordingly, Comments and Suggestions have been sought on ways to reduce the gap between as billed and as received GCV.

Coal Blending

Linking consent of Beneficiaries for blending of Coal to be linked to % Blending instead increase in demand by generating company. Coal Blending Coal to be linked to % Blending instead increase in demand by generating company. Coal owing to coal shortage. However, generating companies are facing problem to comply with the directions of MoP on account of absence of permission by the concerned beneficiaries which is required under Regulation 43(3) of the CERC Tariff Regulations, 2019. In view of the above, considering the shortage situation may recurrence to consent of beneficiaries may be linked with % blending of imported coal.	Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
concerned beneficiaries which is required under	Coal Blending	Issue Flagged: Whether Consent of Beneficiaries for blending of Coal to be linked to % Blending instead increase in ECR? Linking consent of Beneficiaries for blending of Coal to be linked to % Blending instead increase in ECR will result in swift response to increase in demand by generating company. Genesis – Generating companies are facing problem to comply with the directions of MoP on account of absence of permission by the	MoP at various instances have been providing guidelines for Blending of Coal owing to coal shortage. However, generating companies are facing problem to comply with the directions of MoP on account of absence of permission by the concerned beneficiaries which is required under Regulation 43(3) of the CERC Tariff Regulations, 2019. In view of the above, considering the shortage situation may recur, consent of beneficiaries may be linked with % blending of imported coal instead of increase in ECR which in turn will result in swift response to increase in demand by generating company. Procurement of such coal (other than linkage coal) has to be done through a transparent competitive bidding process.



Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
	Issue Flagged: Whether more enabling framework or incentive mechanism for dam/reservoir based generating stations to operate as peaking plants? Reviewing the norms for such stations will result in increased generation from such plants and will also benefit beneficiaries. Genesis – Promoting Dam/Reservoir based Generating Stations shall help the beneficiaries in better load management and flexible grid operations.	Currently the Tariff Regulations for all the hydro stations are same except for higher RoE allowed for Storage based Hydro Generating Stations and PSP vis-à-vis Run-of-River projects. Further, NAPAF of storage based generating stations is generally higher than ROR based projects considering the ability of storage based generating stations to generate on demand. In view of the above, it is proposed that more enabling framework or incentive mechanism for dam/reservoir based generating stations to operate as peaking plants wherein these stations may be incentivized to operate as peaking plants.



De-Commissioning of Generating Station and Transmission Assets

Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
	Issue Flagged: Possible approaches to treat the impact of de-commissioning cost in case the generating stations/transmission system is decommissioned before the completion of useful life?	In some cases de-commissioning of Generating Station and Transmission Assets is on account of: 1. To comply with revised Pollution norms. 2. Generating Station or Transmission System is de-commissioned prior to completion of its useful life due to technological obsolescence or any
De-Commissioning of Generating Station and	Disposal of such de-commissioned generating station/system entails cost (unrecovered depreciation) towards such pre-closure and on	value which can be realized
Transmission Assets	the other hand these generating stations have some salvage value which can be realized.	It is to be analyzed how these costs and revenue can be accounted for so that it is cost neutral to the generating/Transmission company and also do not impact the beneficiaries.
	Genesis - De-commissioning is done to comply with any statutory order or due to technological obsolescence duly approved by RPC or any other uncontrollable factor, hence should be financially neutral.	the impact of de-commissioning cost in case the generating

Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
Approval process for carrying out non-ISTS lines carrying inter-state power and associated Capital Cost	undertaking the construction of new intra- state transmission lines carrying inter-state power by the STUs and State Transmission Licensees? Possible approach with regards to obtaining approvals needs to explored to streamline the process of tariff determination of such Transmission assets	It is further observed that State transmission licensees are not taking any prior approval from the Commission, for the implementation of new transmission lines and also many of the State transmission licensees are claiming tariff for the transmission lines without submitting any approvals of SCM and RPC. In view of the above, Suggestions have been sought on possible approaches to be developed for the approval process to be followed before undertaking the

Necessity to Review the need of Regulation 17

Parameter	Issue in Brief	Description/Additional Detail
	in its present form would create complications and the same is proposed to be modified.	Further, any interventions in PPA through tariff Regulations every five- year including such exit clauses may not be desirable as it may violate contract sanctity.
Necessity to Review the need of Regulation 17 (2)	Power Sale is governed through PPAs and any interventions in PPA through Tariff Regulations violates contract sanctity. Such	Further, any extended operations should also be governed by PPA as was the case in the initial PPA period.
	modalities should be governed through PPAs.	In view of the above, it is observed the continuing Regulation 17 (2) in its present form would create complications and the same is proposed to be modified.
	Genesis - Regulation 17 (2) of the existing Regulations may be inequitable for generating stations.	



Summary

Macro Issues to be Dealt

- 1. Tariff Simplification Approach 1 & Approach 2
- 2. Allowing Add Cap on Normative Basis
- 3. Incentivizing Peak Generation
- 4. Measures to Reduce Impact of Delay Time and Cost Overrun
- 5. Peak and Off Peak Tariff
- 6. GCV Billed V/s GCV Received Accounting for Losses
- 7. Compensation of Part Load Operation
- 8. Necessity to Review Regulation 17(2)



Thank You



Illustration

Illustration: Consider an asset which was supposed to be implemented in 36 months suffers a delay of 12 months. Further, suppose IDC upto SCOD is Rs. X and IDC beyond SCOD till actual COD is Rs. Y, and the Commission has condoned delay of 4 months then IDC allowable under the above two scenarios shall be as follows.

Under **Option 1** above the allowable IDC shall be Rs. $X + [Y^*(4/12)]$, i.e., only IDC pertaining to delay is pro-rated.

Whereas,

Under **Option 2** the allowable IDC shall be Rs. (X+Y)*[(36+4)/48] wherein the total IDC is pro-rated based on the SCOD and delay condoned vis-à-vis actual implementation period of 48 months.



Sample Calculation

Tariff Order specifying Indexation at the beginning of the Tariff Period

Particulars	UoM	Assumptions		
		Tariff Order		
Commercial Date of	01	/04/19		
Operation	01	704713		
Installed Capacity	MW	500		
Capital Cost as on COD	Rs. Lakh	380036		
Debt	%	70%		
Equity	%	30%		
Debt	Rs. Lakh	266025		
Equity	Rs. Lakh	114011		
Cost of Debt	%	6.63%		
Cost of Equity	%	15.50%		
Depreciation	%	5.11%		
IoWC Rate	%	10.00%		

Existing Project

Normative Approach - Tariff Order Issued at the beginning of Tariff Period 2024-29 for Asset-X

Tariff Order Issued at the beginning of Tariff Period

	Truing Up shall be done for 2019-24 tariff block					First Year Tariff and Indexation for the rest shall be specified.					
AFC - Tariff Order for FY											
2024-29	UoM	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	FY 2027-28	FY 2028-29
RoE	Rs. Lakh	17672	17672	17672	17672	17672	17672	17672	17672	17672	17672
Depreciation	Rs. Lakh	19429	19429	19429	19429	19429	19429	19429	19429	19429	19429
Cumulative Dep	Rs. Lakh	19429	38857	58286	77714	97143	116571	136000	155429	174857	194286
O&M Expenses	Rs. Lakh	11255	11650	12060	12485	12920	13566	14244	14957	15704	16490
Interest on loan	Rs. Lakh	16994	15706	14418	13130	11842	10553	9265	7977	6689	5401
IoWC	Rs. Lakh	2927	2980	3036	3093	3153	3224	3297	3374	3454	3538
AFC Total	Rs. Lakh	68276	67437	66614	65809	65015	64444	63907	63408	62948	62528

Indexation specified at

the time of tariff Order		Year>>>									
Particulars	UoM	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	FY 2027-28	FY 2028-29
O&M Expenses	Rs. Lakh	11,255	11,650	12,060	12,485	12,920	13,566	14,244	14,957	15,704	16,490
Rest of AFC											
Components	Rs. Lakh	57,021	55,787	54,554	53,324	52,095	50,878	49,663	48,451	47,243	46,039
O&M Indexation*	Factor #	A EC till out o	FC till cut-off date to be specified under the current mechanism					1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05
Rest of AFC Indexation*	Factor #	AFC till cut-o	in date to be s	pecinea unae	r the current	nechanism		0.98	0.98	0.98	0.97

^{*}Y-O-Y escalation/de-escalation as per the computations of individual components of AFC

[#] Indexation for a Particular Year = (Expenses of Current Year/Expenses of Preceeding Year)

Sample Calculation

Revision of Indexation for the past Tariff Period and Specifying New Indexation for the Next Tariff Period

Particulars	UoM	Assumptions	Based on Indexation	New Indexation	
		Tariff Order	Revision 2024- 29	2029-34	
Commercial Date of Operation		01	/04/19		
Installed Capacity	MW		500		
Capital Cost as on COD	Rs. Lakh	380036	380036	380036	
Add Cap approved	Rs. Lakh	0	Rs. 2000 Lakh (FY 27) Rs. 2200 Lakh (FY 29)		
Debt	%	70%	70%	70%	
Equity	%	30%	30%	30%	
Debt	Rs. Lakh	266025	266025	266025	
Equity	Rs. Lakh	114011	114011	114011	
Cost of Debt	%	6.63%	8.58%	8.58%	
Cost of Equity	%	15.50%	15.50%	15.50%	
Depreciation	%	5.11%	5.11%	5.11%	
IoWC Rate	%	10.00%	12.00%	12.00%	

Existing Project

Normative Approach - Revision in Indexation at the end of Tariff Period 2024-29- In case Additional Capitalisation is approved in Tariff Period 2024-29

		Commiss	Commission to call out for relevant data at the end of the Tariff Period and revised Indexation for 2024-29 and new Indexation for 2029-34 to be issued								
	Year>>>	Revision	Revision of AFC at the end of Tariff Period - Revised Indexation specified				New Indexation to be specified for next Tariff Period				
AFC	UoM	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	FY 2027-28	FY 2028-29	FY 2029-30	FY 2030-31	FY 2031-32	FY 2032-33	FY 2033-34
RoE	Rs. Lakh	17672	17672	17672	17672	17672	17672	17672	17672	17672	17672
Depreciation	Rs. Lakh	19429	19429	19429	19429	19429	19429	19429	8376	8376	8376
Cumulative Dep	Rs. Lakh	116571	136000	155429	174857	194286	213714	233143	241519	249895	258271
O&M Expenses	Rs. Lakh	13566	14244	14957	15704	16490	17479	18528	19639	20818	22067
Interest on loan	Rs. Lakh	13649	11983	10317	8651	6985	5319	3653	2461	1742	1024
IoWC	Rs. Lakh	3926	4008	4095	4186	4280	4388	4501	4459	4598	4743
O&M Expenses	Rs. Lakh	13566	14244	14957	15704	16490	17479	18528	19639	20818	22067
Rest of AFC Comp.	Rs. Lakh	54675	53092	51512	49937	48366	46807	45254	32967	32388	31815
AFC Total	Rs. Lakh	68241	67336	66469	65641	64855	64286	63782	52606	53205	53882

AFC - Add Cap Impa	UoM	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	FY 2027-28	FY 2028-29	FY 2029-30	FY 2030-31	FY 2031-32	FY 2032-33	FY 2033-34
RoE	Rs. Lakh			46.50	144.15	195.30	195.30	195.30	195.30	195.30	195.30
Depreciation	Rs. Lakh			51.12	158.48	214.72	214.72	214.72	214.72	208.58	208.58
Cumulative Dep	Rs. Lakh			51.12	209.60	424.32	639.04	853.75	1,068.47	1,277.05	1,485.63
O&M Expenses	Rs. Lakh			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest on loan	Rs. Lakh			57.84	174.90	224.93	206.52	188.11	169.70	151.55	133.66
IoWC	Rs. Lakh			2.4	7.3	9.7	3.1	2.9	2.6	2.3	2.0
O&M Expenses	Rs. Lakh	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rest of AFC Comp	Rs. Lakh	-	-	157.83	484.81	644.62	619.68	600.99	582.30	557.73	539.57
AFC Total	Rs. Lakh			157.83	484.81	644.62	619.68	600.99	582.30	557.73	539.57

Revised Indexation

Particulars	UoM	FY 2024-25	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	FY 2027-28	FY 2028-29	FY 2029-30	FY 2030-31	FY 2031-32	FY 2032-33	FY 2033-34
O&M Expenses	Rs. Lakh	13,566	14,244	14,957	15,704	16,490	17,479	18,528	19,639	20,818	22,067
Rest of AFC Compor	Rs. Lakh	54,675	53,092	51,670	50,422	49,010	47,427	45,855	33,549	32,946	32,354
O&M Indexation*	Factor #		No Revision in Indexation for O&M					1.060	1.060	1.060	1.060
Rest of AFC											
Indexation*	Factor #	1.075	0.971	0.973	0.976	0.972	0.968	0.967	0.732	0.982	0.982



^{*} FY 2024-25 - Indexation w.r.t cost approved in Tariff Order

[&]quot;Indexation for a Particular Year = (Expenses of Current Year/Expenses of Preceeding Year)