

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
GANDHINAGAR**

**Petition No. 1820 of 2019.**

**In the Matter of:**

**Petition under Section 62 read with Section 64 and 86 (1) (b) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for determination of Project specific tariff in respect of 20 MW Photovoltaic Solar Power set up at Village Shivlakha, District Kutch, Gujarat.**

Petitioner : Shivlakha Solar Energy Private Limited,

Represented by : Nobody was Present

V/s.

Respondent : Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited,

Represented by : Mr. Prashant Gandhi.

**Coram:**

**Anil Mukim, Chairman**

**Mehul M. Gandhi, Member**

**Date: 06.10.2023.**

**Order**

- 1) The present Petition has been filed for the determination of project-specific tariff for the 20 MW Solar PV Project of the Petitioner at Village Shivlakha, District Kutch, Gujarat.

2) It is noted that the Petitioner entered into the Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) with the Respondent for the aforesaid 20 MW Solar PV project.

3) It is also noted that in the Daily Order dated 29.01.2020 in this matter it is mentioned that-

*“6.2. We also note that both the parties have agreed that let the Respondent GUVNL first file its reply on admissibility and maintainability of the present matter and the Petitioner will file its rejoinder-in-reply thereafter. We, therefore, decide and direct that the Respondent GUVNL to file its reply within three weeks from the receipt of this Order with a copy to the Petitioner. Thereafter, the Petitioner is at liberty to file its rejoinder-in-reply with a copy to the Respondent, within three weeks, after the receipt of the reply from the Respondent.”*

4) Subsequently the matter was scheduled for hearing on 21.09.2022 and 15.12.2022.

5) It is noted that during the last hearing dated 15.12.2022, nobody was present on behalf of the Petitioner. However, the representative for the Respondent was present. Further the Commission has mentioned in the Daily Order dated 20.12.2022 that-

*“3) We note that Petitioner or any representative on its behalf was not present during the hearing nor had conveyed about their non-availability in spite of notices which had duly been served to them. We further note that as mentioned in the Daily Order dated 26.09.2022, the Petitioner was not present on hearing dated 21.09.2022. Further, no compliances also received on the directives issued through daily Order dated 26.09.2022. It seems the Petitioner is now not interested for further proceedings.”*

6) It is also noted that there is no any communication in the matter from the Petitioner. Hence it is concluded that the Petitioner is now not interested in

pursuing the present Petition further and hence we decide to dismiss the present Petition.

7) We order accordingly.

-Sd-  
**[Mehul M. Gandhi]**  
**Member**

-Sd-  
**[Anil Mukim]**  
**Chairman**

Place: Gandhinagar.

Date: 06/10/2023.

