THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

LUCKNOW

Petition No. 1993 of 2023

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Vinod Kumar Srivastava, Member (Law)

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition filed under Section 86(1)(k) along with Section 86(1)(c) and 86(1)(f) of the Electricity Act 2003 read with Regulation 18, 19, 20 and 21 of the UPERC (Grant of Connectivity to Intra-State Transmission System) Regulations, 2010 and read with Regulation 57 of the UPERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2019.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

Chitradurga Renewable Energy India Pvt. Ltd.,

48/13, 40th Cross, 3rd Main Road, 8th Block, Jayanagar,

Bangalore-560082, Karnataka

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

1. Uttar Pradesh Power Transmission Corporation Limited, through its Managing Director, Shakti Bhawan, 14 Ashok Marg, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001
2. Uttar Pradesh New and Renewable Energy Development Agency through its Director, NEDA Bhawan, Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226010

..... Respondents

THE FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT

1. Sh. Aditya K Singh, Advocate, Petitioner
2. Sh. Tanay Chaudhary, Advocate, UPPTCL (Attendance not marked)
3. Sh. Amitav Singh, Advocate, UPNEDA

ORDER
(DATE OF HEARING: 21.11.2023)

1. Sh. Tanay Chaudhary, Counsel submitted that he has appeared on behalf of Sh. Puneet Chandra who is arguing Counsel for UPPTCL in the instant matter as he is unable to appear before the Commission due to his appearance in some other matter before the Hon'ble High Court. He further submitted that the Commission vide Order dated 06.11.2023 had directed the Petitioner to ensure that the hard copy of its rejoinder is delivered to UPPTCL. The rejoinder was sent through email however, there are some paras which are not legible and few of the paras might require response as there are certain contentions which are allegedly claimed as admissions on UPPTCL's part. Therefore, he requested the Commission to grant two weeks to file his reply.

2. Sh. Aditya K Singh Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner submitted that after the last hearing, Sh. Puneet Chandra Counsel of UPPTCL confirmed him that all pages of the rejoinder emailed are visible. Further, as per the directions of the Commission, the hard copy was also delivered to UPPTCL on 16.11.23. Further, he submitted that if UPPTCL requires time to file its response then he has no objection to that extent.

3. The Commission after hearing parties acceded the request of UPPTCL to file its response within two weeks. UPPTCL shall file its response with the timeframe positively and thereafter, the Petitioner shall file its rejoinder within 2 weeks.

4. The matter is listed for final arguments on 16th January 2024.

(Sanjay Kumar Singh)	(Vinod Kumar Srivastava)	(Arvind Kumar)
Member	**Member (Law)**	**Chairman**

Place: Lucknow
Dated: 29 .11.2023