



THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

LUCKNOW

Petition No. 2071 of 2024

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition under Section 61, 62, and 86 of Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 20(2)(ii) of the Uttar Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions of Generation Tariff) Regulations, 2019 read with Article 12 of the Power Purchase Agreement dated 12.11.2006 (as amended by Supplementary PPA dated 11.09.2009 and Supplementary PPA dated 19.11.2011) seeking declaration that Clause 6.2(5) of the National Tariff Policy, 2016 and the subsequent letters issued by the Ministry of Power, Government of India is a Change in Law event, and consequential grant of in-principle approval of Additional Capital Expenditure and Operational Expenditure to be incurred by the Petitioner.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

Rosa Power Supply Company Ltd. (RPSCL),

Reliance Centre, Ballard Estate, Mumbai, Maharashtra- 400001

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

U.P. Power Corporation Ltd. (UPPCL),

Shakti Bhawan, 14-Ashok Marg, Lucknow-226001

..... Respondent

FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT

1. Shri Sumeet Notani, AVP, RPSCL
2. Shri Aditya K. Singh, Advocate, UPPCL
3. Shri Anil Kumar, EE, UPPCL

by






ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 19.11.2024)

1. The Commission in its earlier Order dated 25.10.2024 noted the RPSCL's submissions that Steering Committee agreed to reconsider the exemption of Rosa TPP from mandatory use of STP water subject to inputs from National Mission for Clean Ganga and Urban Development department of state. Further, UPPCL requested for submissions on maintainability of instant Petition.
2. During the hearing today, Sh. Sumeet Notani, on behalf of RPSCL, submitted that Steering committee held its meeting with respect to State of Uttar Pradesh on 14.11.2024 and further they are still in the process of meeting with two-three states more before issuing any direction on the exemption issue regarding use of Sewage water. Further, Sh. Notani stated that approximately one month would be required to complete these consultations/meetings of Steering Committee with the States and therefore sought adjournment of the hearing. Counsel of UPPCL did not object to the RPSCL's request for adjournment.
3. After hearing the parties, the Commission adjourned the hearing on the Petitioner's request and directed to list the matter for next hearing on 30.01.2025.


(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member




(Arvind Kumar)
Chairman

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 21.11.2024