**THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**LUCKNOW**

**Petition No. 2100 of 2024**

**QUORUM**

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

**IN THE MATTER OF**

Petition filed under section 63 read with section 86(1)(b) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for approval of procurement of 690 MW of Wind Power in terms of the Power Sale Agreement (PSA) dated 19.10.2023 on long term basis procured through tariff based competitive bidding process held under the Inter State Transmission System Tranche-XIV Scheme.

**AND**

**IN THE MATTER OF**

**Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd. (UPPCL),**

Shakti Bhawan, 14-Ashok Marg, Lucknow-226001. ..... Petitioner

**Versus**

**Solar Energy Corporation of India Ltd. (SECI),**

6th Floor, Plate-B, NBCC Office Block Tower-2,

East Kidwai Nagar, New Delhi-110023 .....Respondent

**FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT**

1. Sh. Divyanshu Bhatt, Advocate, UPPCL
2. Sh. Shashwat Singh, Advocate, UPPCL
3. Sh. Harshvardhan Shukla, Advocate, UPPCL
4. Sh. Deepak Raizada, C.E, UPPCL
5. Sh. C.P. Maurya, AE, UPPCL
6. Ms. Zeba Malik, Sr. Engineer, SECI

## ORDER

## (DATE OF HEARING: 24.10.2024)

1. The Commission adjourned the last hearing dated 19.09.2024 on the UPPCL's request wherein UPPCL sought time to evaluate the SECI's offer dated 16.08.2024. UPPCL is yet to file complete copy of PPAs linked to PSA dated 19.10.2023.

2. During the hearing today, Sh. Divyanshu Bhatt, Counsel of UPPCL submitted that they have not reached the any conclusion while discussing the matter with SECI. Officer of SECI submitted that they were in the process of terminating/excluding the project developer- Green Prairie Energy Pvt. Ltd. from the list of successful Bidder, however, the existing two developers have denied executing SPPA of 190MW at a tariff of Rs. 3.18 per unit i.e., @ tariff of Green Prairie Energy Pvt. Ltd. against their tariff of Rs. 3.24 per unit.

3. On specific query of the Commission regarding timeline required to reach a conclusion, officer of SECI did not respond. The Commission observed that it was already more than 2 months and SECI and UPPCL have yet not reached to a decision. Accordingly, it would be waste of court's time in giving another date for hearing without any timeline committed by the parties. Therefore, the Commission decided to keep the matter in abeyance till the parties reach a decision in the matter and furnish their submission on record.

   List the matter upon conclusive submission by the parties.

**(Sanjay Kumar Singh)**
**Member**

**(Arvind Kumar)**
**Chairman**

Place: Lucknow
Dated: 04.11.2024