BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION GANDHINAGAR

Petition No. 2161 of 2022

In the matter of:

Petition under Section 32, 33, 61 & 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 23, 80 and 82 of the GERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004, Order No. 3 of 2010 dated 01.04.2010 passed by the Commission and Order dated 27.12.2019 in Case No. 1776 of 2019 for appropriate direction/clarification to SLDC for implementation of norms of CERC Deviation Settlement Regulations, 2022 notified on 14.03.2022 to Municipal Waste to Energy plants in the State of Gujarat.

Petitioner	. :	Goodwatts WTE Jamnagar Pvt. Limited
Represented by		Mr. Aatray Pandya, Mr. Anup Pillai and Mr. Achint
	S	Vs.
Respondent No. 1	й Г	State Load Dispatch Centre
Represented by	:	Mr. P.B. Suthar and Mr. B.M. Patel
Respondent No. 2	- :	Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited
Represented by		Mr. J. P. Chavda
Respondent No. 3	40	Energy & Petrochemicals Department, Govt. of Gujarat
Represented by		Nobody was present.
Respondent No. 4	-	Urban Development Department, Govt. of Gujarat
Represented by	:	Nobody was present.

CORAM:

Anil Mukim, Chairman Mehul M. Gandhi, Member S. R. Pandey, Member

Date: 07/01/2025.

DAILY ORDER

- 1. The present matter was listed for hearing on 01.01.2025.
- 2. When the matter was called out, nobody was present on behalf of the Respondents No. 3 & 4 although the hearing notice was issued for the hearing to them by post as well email as well. Moreover, the Commission has not received any communication from them about their inability to remain present in today's hearing on 01.01.2025.
- 3. Mr. P.B. Suthar, appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 1 SLDC, submitted that the counsel for SLDC has forwarded the letter for requesting of the adjournment in the matter due to their personal difficulty. He requested the Commission to grant the same, which is not objected by the Petitioner.
- 4. We note that when the matter was called out, nobody was present on behalf of Respondents No. 3 & 4. We also note the submissions of the representative of the Respondent SLDC that their counsel has requested for the adjournment in the matter due to their personal difficulty and the same is not objected by the Petitioner. Considering the request of the Respondent SLDC to adjourn the matter and there is no objection to the same by the Petitioner, the matter is adjourned in the interest of justice.
- 5. Next date of hearing will be intimated separately.
- 6. Order accordingly.

Sd/-[S. R. Pandey] Member Sd/-[Mehul M. Gandhi] Member Sd/-[Anil Mukim] Chairman

Place: Gandhinagar. Date: 07/01/2025.