

**BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
GANDHINAGAR**

Petition No. 2174 of 2023.

In the matter of:

Petition Section 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulations 23, 80 & 82 of the GERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004 seeking for direction/clarification that the Petitioners are MSW based generating projects being covered under Intra-State ABT Mechanism do not require to maintain contract demand with the concerned distribution licensees and the Petitioners are permitted to draw commissioning/start up/stand by power for auxiliary consumption from the grid under UI/DSM as being done in case of other conventional thermal generating stations in the State of Gujarat.

Petitioner No. 1 : Goodwatts WTE Jamnagar Pvt. Limited
Petitioner No. 2 : Goodwatts WTE Rajkot Pvt. Limited
Petitioner No. 3 : Goodwatts WTE Ahmedabad Pvt. Limited
Petitioner No. 4 : Goodwatts WTE Vadodara Pvt. Limited
Represented by : Mr. Aatray Pandya, Mr. Anup Pillai and Mr. Achint.

Vs.

Respondent No. 1 : Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Represented by : Mr. J. R. Bavalia.
Respondent No. 2 : Uttar Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Represented by : Nobody was present.
Respondent No. 3 : Madhya Gujarat Vij Company Limited
Represented by : Nobody was present.
Respondent No. 4 : State Load Dispatch Centre
Represented by : Mr. P. B. Suthar and Mr. B.M. Patel.
Respondent No. 5 : Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited
Represented by : Mr. Kishore Lakhani.
Respondent No. 6 : Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited
Represented by : Nobody was present.

CORAM:

**Anil Mukim, Chairman
Mehul M. Gandhi, Member
S. R. Pandey, Member**

Date: 07/01/2025

Daily Order

1. The present matter was listed for hearing on 01.01.2025.
2. When the matter was called out, nobody was present on behalf of the Respondents No. 2, 3 & 6 although the hearing notice was issued for the hearing to them by post as well email as well. Moreover, the Commission has not received any communication from them about their inability to remain present in today's hearing on 01.01.2025.
3. Mr. J. R. Bavalia , appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 1 PGVCL, submitted that the counsel for PGVCL has forwarded the letter for requesting of the adjournment in the matter due to their personal difficulty. He requested the Commission to grant the same, which is not objected by the Petitioners.
4. We note that when the matter was called out, nobody was present on behalf of Respondents No. 2, 3 & 6. We also note the submissions of the representative of the Respondent PGVCL that their counsel has requested for the adjournment in the matter due to their personal difficulty and the same is not objected by the Petitioners. Considering the request of the Respondent PGVCL to adjourn the matter and there is no objection to the same by the Petitioners, the matter is adjourned in the interest of justice.
5. Next date of hearing will be intimated separately.
6. Order accordingly.

Sd/-
[S.R. Pandey]
Member

Sd/-
[Mehul M. Gandhi]
Member

Sd/-
[Anil Mukim]
Chairman

Place: Gandhinagar

Date: 07/01/2025.